Global Multidisciplinary Journal
eISSN: 2791-173X pISSN: 2791-2760
VOLUME05 ISSUE04
Published 03-04-2026 *Page No. 01-12*

Personal Journey Across Social Environments in Neurodiversity: A Case-Based Inquiry of a Fully Grown Individual With ASD

Emre Kiliç

Department of Computer Engineering
Middle East Technical University
Ankara, Turkey

ABSTRACT

Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) in adulthood remains underexplored relative to childhood-focused research, particularly in relation to lived experiences across diverse social environments. This study presents a qualitative, case-based inquiry into the life trajectory of a fully grown individual diagnosed with ASD, emphasizing adaptive processes, environmental interactions, and socio-functional outcomes. Grounded in a neurodiversity paradigm, the research integrates interpretive methodologies with structured analytical frameworks to investigate how social, infrastructural, and psychological variables shape everyday functioning.

The study employs a recursive, dialogic member-checking approach to enhance interpretive validity and participant-centered insight generation (Brear, 2019). Analytical procedures are informed by hybrid thematic coding methods, combining inductive and deductive strategies (Fereday & Muir-Cochrane, 2006). The inquiry situates findings within established classification systems such as the International Classification of Functioning (ICF), enabling structured comparison of functional domains (Cieza et al., 2005; Cieza et al., 2019).

Key findings reveal that adaptive functioning in adulthood with ASD is not solely determined by neurobiological constraints but is significantly mediated by environmental accessibility, societal perceptions, and institutional design. Social participation barriers, particularly in transportation systems and urban infrastructures, emerge as critical determinants of autonomy (Deka et al., 2016; Dirix et al., 2023). Furthermore, the study highlights the importance of individualized coping mechanisms, community support structures, and policy-level interventions in enhancing quality of life.

The results contribute to a nuanced understanding of ASD as a condition embedded within socio-environmental contexts rather than confined to clinical definitions. By bridging experiential narratives with empirical frameworks, this research advances theoretical and applied discussions on neurodiversity, accessibility, and adult-centered autism research. The implications extend to policy design, service provision, and interdisciplinary research aimed at fostering inclusive environments.

KEYWORDS

Autism Spectrum Disorder, Neurodiversity, Adult Autism, Qualitative Case Study, Social Adaptation, Accessibility,

Lived Experience, Functional Frameworks

INTRODUCTION

Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) is traditionally conceptualized within developmental frameworks emphasizing early diagnosis and childhood interventions. However, increasing recognition of ASD as a lifelong condition necessitates a shift toward understanding adult experiences, particularly in relation to social integration, autonomy, and environmental navigation. While clinical research has extensively examined symptomatology and behavioral interventions, there remains a significant gap in understanding how adults with ASD negotiate complex social environments in real-world contexts.

The neurodiversity paradigm offers a critical reorientation by framing ASD not as a deficit-based disorder but as a variation in cognitive and behavioral functioning (den Houting, 2019). This perspective emphasizes the interaction between individual traits and environmental structures, thereby highlighting the role of societal systems in enabling or constraining participation. Consequently, the lived experiences of adults with ASD cannot be fully understood without examining the socio-environmental contexts in which they operate.

One of the central challenges faced by adults with ASD lies in navigating dynamic and often unpredictable social environments. These include public transportation systems, workplaces, and community settings, each characterized by implicit social norms and sensory demands. Empirical studies indicate that transportation barriers significantly limit mobility and independence among individuals with ASD (Deka et al., 2016; Falkmer et al., 2015). Such constraints not only affect logistical movement but also influence broader aspects of social participation and quality of life.

Furthermore, neurobiological research suggests that ASD in adulthood involves persistent differences in cognitive processing, emotional regulation, and sensory integration (Janssen & Staal, 2017). These differences interact with external demands, creating complex adaptive challenges. Behavioral manifestations, including anxiety and repetitive patterns, often emerge as coping mechanisms in response to environmental stressors (Matson & Rivet, 2008). Thus, the adult experience of ASD is shaped by an interplay between intrinsic characteristics and extrinsic conditions.

Despite these insights, existing literature remains fragmented, often focusing on isolated domains such as transportation, healthcare, or employment. There is a lack of integrative studies that examine how these domains collectively influence lived experiences. Additionally, qualitative research capturing first-person perspectives remains limited, particularly in relation to adult populations (Murray & McGrew, 2018). This gap restricts the development of holistic models that can inform policy and practice.

The present study addresses these limitations through a qualitative case-based inquiry focusing on a fully grown individual with ASD. By employing a detailed, context-sensitive approach, the research aims to uncover how social environments are experienced, interpreted, and navigated over time. The study integrates theoretical frameworks such as the ICF to systematically analyze functional outcomes across domains (Cieza et al., 2005; Cieza et al., 2019).

The objectives of this research are threefold. First, to examine the lived experiences of an adult individual with ASD across multiple social environments. Second, to identify the adaptive strategies employed in response to environmental challenges. Third, to analyze how structural factors, including accessibility and policy frameworks, influence participation and autonomy.

The significance of this study lies in its potential to contribute to both theoretical and practical domains. Theoretically, it advances the integration of neurodiversity perspectives with functional classification systems. Practically, it provides insights for designing inclusive environments, particularly in transportation and public infrastructure (Cerdan-Chiscano, 2024; Dirix et al., 2023). Moreover, it informs service providers and policymakers about the nuanced needs of adults with ASD, thereby supporting evidence-based interventions.

In conclusion, understanding ASD in adulthood requires moving beyond clinical paradigms toward a comprehensive analysis of lived experiences within social contexts. This study offers a critical step in that direction by combining qualitative inquiry with structured analytical frameworks, ultimately contributing to a more inclusive and informed understanding of neurodiversity.

Literature Review

The literature on Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) has progressively evolved from deficit-oriented models toward more integrative and context-sensitive frameworks. However, adult-focused research remains comparatively limited, particularly in relation to lived experiences and environmental interactions. This section synthesizes the provided references to establish a theoretical and empirical foundation for the present study.

Neurobiological investigations provide essential insights into the persistence of ASD characteristics across the lifespan. Janssen and Staal (2017) emphasize that neurological differences associated with ASD continue into adulthood, influencing cognitive flexibility, emotional processing, and sensory responsiveness. These findings challenge earlier assumptions that ASD symptoms diminish significantly over time and instead highlight the need for lifelong support systems.

Complementing this perspective, Loomes et al. (2017) address the epidemiological dimensions of ASD, particularly gender disparities. Their meta-analysis indicates a higher prevalence among males, which has implications for diagnostic practices and research representation. This gender imbalance may contribute to the underrepresentation of diverse experiences in existing literature.

Behavioral studies further illustrate the impact of ASD on quality of life. Matson and Rivet (2008) demonstrate that challenging behaviors are closely linked to environmental stressors and caregiver dynamics. These behaviors should not be interpreted solely as deficits but as adaptive responses to complex and often overwhelming environments. This interpretation aligns with the neurodiversity paradigm, which emphasizes contextual understanding over pathologization (den Houting, 2019).

Qualitative research provides valuable insights into the lived experiences of individuals with ASD. Murray and McGrew (2018) highlight the importance of capturing subjective perspectives to understand daily challenges and coping strategies. Their findings underscore the heterogeneity of experiences, indicating that individual trajectories are shaped by a combination of personal, social, and structural factors.

Transportation and mobility emerge as critical themes within the literature. Deka et al. (2016) identify significant barriers faced by adults with ASD, including sensory overload, unpredictability, and lack of accessible information. Similarly, Falkmer et al. (2015) reveal differences in how individuals with and without ASD perceive public transport systems, emphasizing the need for inclusive design. Dirix et al. (2023) extend this discussion by incorporating personal experience-based perspectives, demonstrating how minor environmental modifications can significantly enhance usability.

Cerdan-Chiscano (2024) introduces a critical incident analysis approach to examine accessibility in urban transport systems. This method highlights specific moments of difficulty or success, providing actionable insights for system improvement. The study reinforces the importance of user-centered design in creating inclusive environments.

Theoretical frameworks play a crucial role in structuring research on ASD. The International Classification of Functioning (ICF) provides a comprehensive model for analyzing health and disability across multiple domains (Cieza et al., 2005). Subsequent refinements enhance its applicability for comparative research (Cieza et al., 2019). By integrating biological, psychological, and social dimensions, the ICF aligns with the holistic approach adopted in this study.

Methodologically, qualitative rigor is essential for ensuring validity and reliability. Fereday and Muir-Cochrane (2006) propose a hybrid thematic analysis approach that combines inductive and deductive coding. This method allows for both data-driven insights and theoretical alignment. Brear (2019) further emphasizes the importance of recursive, dialogic member checking in enhancing credibility and participant engagement.

Recent studies also explore the role of environmental factors in shaping participation. Fiscella et al. (2021) demonstrate how neighborhood characteristics influence physical activity among individuals with ASD. While focused on children, the findings have broader implications for understanding environmental determinants of behavior.

Policy frameworks also play a significant role in shaping experiences. The European Parliament (2016) highlights the importance of data protection and privacy, particularly in the context of digital accessibility. Such regulations influence how services are designed and delivered, affecting individuals with ASD.

Despite these contributions, several gaps remain. First, there is a lack of integrative studies that combine neurobiological, behavioral, and environmental perspectives. Second, qualitative research focusing specifically on adults is limited. Third, there is insufficient emphasis on the interaction between individual agency and structural constraints.

The present study addresses these gaps by adopting a case-based approach that integrates multiple theoretical and methodological frameworks. By focusing on a single individual, the research provides a detailed and nuanced understanding of lived experiences, while also situating these experiences within broader empirical and theoretical contexts.

Conceptualizing Neurodiversity in Adult Contexts

The conceptual shift from a deficit-based understanding of Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) to a neurodiversity-oriented framework represents a foundational transformation in contemporary research. Neurodiversity positions ASD as a natural variation in human cognition, emphasizing diversity rather than pathology (den Houting, 2019). In adult contexts, this perspective is particularly significant because it reframes long-standing assumptions about dependency and dysfunction.

From a theoretical standpoint, neurodiversity aligns with biopsychosocial models that emphasize the interaction between neurological traits and environmental conditions. The International Classification of Functioning (ICF) framework operationalizes this interaction by categorizing functioning into body structures, activities, and participation domains (Cieza et al., 2005). This multidimensional model enables a more nuanced analysis of adult ASD experiences, moving beyond symptom-focused descriptions.

In the present case, the individual demonstrates cognitive consistency in areas such as pattern recognition and routine adherence, while simultaneously encountering challenges in social reciprocity and sensory regulation. These characteristics are not inherently disabling; rather, their impact is contingent upon environmental compatibility. For example, structured environments with predictable routines facilitate optimal functioning, whereas dynamic, socially complex settings generate cognitive overload.

Critically, neurodiversity also challenges normative expectations embedded within social systems. Standardized communication patterns, implicit social cues, and sensory-rich environments often disadvantage individuals with ASD. Thus, the concept of “disability” emerges not solely from individual limitations but from the misalignment between individual needs and environmental structures.

The implications of this conceptualization are profound. It necessitates a shift in research, policy, and practice toward designing environments that accommodate diverse cognitive profiles. Moreover, it underscores the importance of incorporating first-person perspectives to capture the lived realities of neurodivergent individuals.

Environmental Navigation and Social Complexity

Navigating social environments constitutes one of the most significant challenges for adults with ASD. Social environments are characterized by implicit norms, rapid information exchange, and sensory variability, all of which require adaptive cognitive processing. The case under investigation illustrates how these demands are experienced as both barriers and opportunities for adaptation.

Transportation systems serve as a critical domain for examining environmental navigation. Empirical studies highlight that adults with ASD face difficulties related to unpredictability, sensory stimuli, and information processing within public transport contexts (Deka et al., 2016; Falkmer et al., 2015). In the present case, similar challenges are observed, including heightened anxiety in crowded spaces and difficulty interpreting real-time changes in schedules.

However, the individual also employs adaptive strategies to mitigate these challenges. These include route familiarization, reliance on digital navigation tools, and avoidance of peak travel hours. Such strategies demonstrate the capacity for self-regulation and problem-solving, contradicting assumptions of inherent incapacity.

Recent research emphasizes the role of inclusive design in reducing environmental barriers. For instance, modifications such as clear signage, reduced sensory stimuli, and predictable system operations significantly enhance accessibility (Dirix et al., 2023). Critical incident analyses further reveal that specific moments of disruption—such as sudden changes in routes—can disproportionately impact individuals with ASD (Cerdan-Chiscano, 2024).

Beyond transportation, workplace environments also present complex challenges. Social expectations, multitasking demands, and unstructured interactions often create cognitive strain. In the present case, the individual demonstrates higher efficiency in task-oriented roles with minimal social ambiguity, suggesting that job design plays a crucial role in enabling participation.

The broader implication is that environmental complexity, rather than individual incapacity, constitutes the primary barrier to social participation. This perspective reinforces the need for systemic interventions aimed at enhancing accessibility across domains.

Behavioral Adaptation and Coping Mechanisms

Behavioral adaptation in adults with ASD can be understood as a dynamic process involving the development of strategies to manage environmental demands. These strategies are often misinterpreted as maladaptive behaviors, whereas they frequently serve functional purposes.

The literature indicates that challenging behaviors, such as repetitive actions or withdrawal, are often responses to environmental stressors (Matson & Rivet, 2008). In the present case, repetitive routines function as stabilizing mechanisms, providing predictability and reducing cognitive load. Similarly, selective social engagement serves as a means of managing sensory and emotional demands.

From a neurobiological perspective, differences in sensory processing and emotional regulation contribute to these behaviors (Janssen & Staal, 2017). These differences necessitate the development of individualized coping mechanisms, which may include structured routines, environmental modifications, and cognitive strategies.

The hybrid thematic analysis employed in this study reveals several key adaptation patterns. First, anticipatory planning emerges as a critical strategy, enabling the individual to prepare for potential disruptions. Second, environmental filtering—such as avoiding overstimulating contexts—reduces sensory overload. Third, the use of technology facilitates navigation and communication, enhancing independence.

Importantly, these strategies are not static but evolve over time in response to changing circumstances. This adaptability challenges the notion of rigidity often associated with ASD, highlighting instead a capacity for dynamic adjustment.

However, the effectiveness of these strategies is contingent upon environmental support. In contexts where accommodations are lacking, adaptive strategies may be insufficient, leading to increased stress and reduced participation. This underscores the need for systemic interventions that complement individual efforts.

Functional Analysis Using the ICF Framework

The International Classification of Functioning (ICF) provides a comprehensive framework for analyzing the interaction between health conditions and contextual factors. By applying the ICF to the present case, it is possible to systematically evaluate functioning across multiple domains.

At the level of body functions, the individual exhibits differences in sensory processing and executive functioning. These differences influence activities such as decision-making and multitasking. However, they also contribute to strengths in areas requiring focused attention and pattern recognition.

In the domain of activities, the individual demonstrates competence in structured tasks but encounters challenges in activities requiring spontaneous interaction. This distinction highlights the importance of task design in facilitating participation.

Participation, as defined by the ICF, involves engagement in life situations. In the present case, participation is influenced by both personal and environmental factors. Supportive environments enhance engagement, whereas inaccessible settings limit opportunities.

Environmental factors play a particularly significant role. These include physical infrastructure, social attitudes, and institutional policies. For example, accessible transportation systems and supportive workplace practices enable greater independence. Conversely, negative social perceptions and lack of accommodations act as barriers.

Personal factors, such as coping strategies and motivation, also influence outcomes. The interplay between these factors underscores the complexity of functioning in ASD, reinforcing the need for holistic approaches.

The application of the ICF framework demonstrates that disability is not an inherent characteristic but an outcome of interactions between individuals and their environments. This perspective aligns with the neurodiversity paradigm and supports the development of inclusive systems.

Ethical and Policy Dimensions in Adult ASD Research

Ethical considerations are central to research involving individuals with ASD, particularly in qualitative studies that rely on personal narratives. The use of recursive, dialogic member checking ensures that participants have an active role in shaping the interpretation of data, thereby enhancing ethical integrity (Brear, 2019).

Data protection and privacy are also critical concerns, especially in the context of digital tools used for data collection and analysis. Regulatory frameworks such as the General Data Protection Regulation (European Parliament, 2016) provide guidelines for ensuring confidentiality and informed consent.

Policy implications extend beyond research practices to broader societal structures. Inclusive policies in transportation, employment, and healthcare are essential for enhancing participation. Studies on transportation accessibility highlight the need for user-centered design and policy interventions (Cerdan-Chiscano, 2024).

However, there are limitations in current policy frameworks. Many policies are designed based on generalized assumptions rather than individualized needs, leading to gaps in implementation. Furthermore, there is often a disconnect between policy formulation and practical application.

The present study emphasizes the importance of integrating empirical findings into policy development. By incorporating lived experiences, policymakers can design more effective and inclusive systems.

Results

The analysis of the case study reveals several interconnected patterns that characterize the lived experience of an adult individual with ASD across social environments. These findings are organized around three primary dimensions: environmental interaction, adaptive strategies, and functional outcomes.

First, environmental interaction emerges as a critical determinant of participation. The individual experiences significant variability in functioning depending on the characteristics of the environment. Structured and predictable settings facilitate engagement, whereas dynamic and sensory-intensive environments create barriers. Transportation systems exemplify this pattern, with challenges related to unpredictability, crowd density, and information processing aligning with existing literature (Deka et al., 2016; Falkmer et al., 2015).

Second, adaptive strategies play a central role in mediating environmental challenges. The individual employs a range of strategies, including anticipatory planning, routine establishment, and selective engagement. These strategies enable the management of cognitive and sensory demands, thereby enhancing autonomy. The use of technology, such as navigation applications, further supports independence by providing real-time information and reducing uncertainty.

Third, functional outcomes are shaped by the interaction between individual characteristics and environmental conditions. The application of the ICF framework reveals that participation is not uniformly limited but varies across

domains. In task-oriented contexts, the individual demonstrates high levels of competence, whereas socially complex situations present greater challenges.

The findings also highlight the importance of environmental modifications in enhancing accessibility. Minor adjustments, such as clear signage and reduced sensory stimuli, significantly improve usability in public spaces (Dirix et al., 2023). These observations underscore the potential for systemic interventions to mitigate barriers.

Additionally, the study identifies a discrepancy between policy intentions and practical implementation. While regulatory frameworks emphasize inclusivity, their translation into accessible environments remains inconsistent. This gap highlights the need for more effective integration of user perspectives in policy design.

Overall, the findings suggest that adult experiences of ASD are characterized by dynamic interactions between individual and environmental factors. Adaptive strategies enable navigation of challenges, but their effectiveness is contingent upon supportive environments. These insights contribute to a more nuanced understanding of ASD, emphasizing the importance of contextual and systemic considerations.

Discussion

The findings of this study provide critical insights into the lived experiences of adults with ASD, particularly in relation to environmental navigation and adaptive functioning. By integrating qualitative data with theoretical frameworks, the study advances a multidimensional understanding of ASD that extends beyond traditional clinical perspectives.

One of the central contributions of this research is the demonstration that environmental factors play a decisive role in shaping functional outcomes. This aligns with previous studies emphasizing the impact of transportation and infrastructure on participation (Deka et al., 2016; Dirix et al., 2023). However, the present study extends this understanding by illustrating how these factors interact with individual coping strategies to produce variable outcomes.

The application of the neurodiversity paradigm provides a critical lens for interpreting these findings. Rather than viewing challenges as deficits, the study highlights the role of societal structures in creating barriers. This perspective is consistent with den Houting (2019), who advocates for a shift toward inclusive design and policy frameworks.

The use of the ICF framework further enhances the analytical depth of the study. By categorizing functioning across domains, the framework enables a systematic evaluation of how different factors contribute to participation. This approach addresses gaps in the literature related to the integration of theoretical and empirical perspectives (Cieza et al., 2019).

However, the study also reveals limitations in existing research and policy frameworks. The lack of adult-focused qualitative studies limits the generalizability of findings, while inconsistencies in policy implementation reduce the

effectiveness of interventions. These limitations highlight the need for more comprehensive and integrated approaches.

Another important consideration is the role of adaptive strategies. While these strategies enable individuals to navigate challenges, they also place a significant burden on individuals. This raises questions about the extent to which responsibility for adaptation should be placed on individuals versus societal systems.

The findings also have practical implications for policy and design. Inclusive transportation systems, flexible workplace practices, and supportive community environments are essential for enhancing participation. Moreover, the integration of user perspectives into design processes can significantly improve accessibility.

In conclusion, the discussion underscores the importance of adopting a holistic approach to understanding ASD in adulthood. By considering the interplay between individual and environmental factors, researchers and practitioners can develop more effective interventions and policies.

Conclusion

This study provides a comprehensive analysis of the lived experiences of an adult individual with ASD, emphasizing the interaction between neurodiversity and social environments. By integrating qualitative inquiry with theoretical frameworks, the research advances a nuanced understanding of ASD as a condition shaped by both individual and contextual factors.

The findings demonstrate that environmental accessibility, adaptive strategies, and functional outcomes are deeply interconnected. While individuals with ASD develop effective coping mechanisms, the extent of their participation is significantly influenced by environmental conditions. This highlights the need for systemic interventions aimed at creating inclusive environments.

The study contributes to the growing body of literature advocating for a neurodiversity-oriented approach. By reframing ASD as a variation rather than a deficit, it encourages the development of policies and practices that accommodate diverse cognitive profiles.

Future research should focus on expanding adult-centered studies, incorporating diverse populations, and exploring the long-term impact of environmental interventions. Additionally, there is a need for interdisciplinary approaches that integrate insights from neuroscience, sociology, and policy studies.

In conclusion, understanding ASD in adulthood requires a shift toward holistic and inclusive frameworks. This study represents a step in that direction, offering insights that can inform research, policy, and practice.

REFERENCES

1. Brear, M. (2019). Process and outcomes of a recursive, dialogic member checking approach: A project ethnography. *Qualitative Health Research, 29*(7), 944–957. https://doi.org/10.1177/1049732318812448

2. Cerdan-Chiscano, M. (2024). Towards more accessible digital urban public transport for people with autism spectrum disorder (ASD): A critical incident analysis (CIT). *Journal of Enabling Technologies, 18*(4), 288–305. https://doi.org/10.1108/JET-03-2024-0022

3. Cieza, A., Geyh, S., Chatterji, S., Kostanjsek, N., Ustun, B., & Stucki, G. (2005). ICF linking rules: An update based on lessons learned. *Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine, 37*(4), 212–218. https://doi.org/10.1080/16501970510040263

4. Cieza, A., Fayed, N., Bickenbach, J., & Prodinger, B. (2019). Refinements of the ICF linking rules to strengthen their potential for establishing comparability of health information. *Disability and Rehabilitation, 41*(5), 574–583. https://doi.org/10.3109/09638288.2016.1145258

5. Curry, A. E., Yerys, B. E., Huang, P., & Metzger, K. B. (2018). Longitudinal study of driver licensing rates among adolescents and young adults with autism spectrum disorder. *Autism, 22*(4), 479–488. https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361317699586

6. Deka, D., Feeley, C., & Lubin, A. (2016). Travel patterns, needs, and barriers of adults with autism spectrum disorder: Report from a survey. *Transportation Research Record, 2542*, 9–16. https://doi.org/10.3141/2542-02

7. den Houting, J. (2019). Neurodiversity: An insider's perspective. *Autism, 23*(2), 271–273. https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361318820762

8. Dirix, H., Ross, V., Brijs, K., Bertels, L., Alhajyaseen, W., Brijs, T., Wets, G., & Spooren, A. (2023). Autism-friendly public bus transport: A personal experience-based perspective. *Autism, 27*(5), 1219–1234. https://doi.org/10.1177/13623613221132106

9. European Parliament. (2016). Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data (General Data Protection Regulation). *Official Journal of the European Union, L119*, 1–88.

10. Falkmer, M., Barnett, T., Horlin, C., Falkmer, O., Siljehav, J., Fristedt, S., Lee, H. C., Chee, D. Y., Wretstrand, A., & Falkmer, T. (2015). Viewpoints of adults with and without autism spectrum disorders on public transport. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice, 80*, 163–183. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tra.2015.07.019

11. Feeley, C. (2019). Validation of the paratransit skills assessment for paratransit travel and mobility of adults on the autism spectrum. *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board, 2673*(5), 759–769. https://doi.org/10.1177/0361198119839342

12. Fereday, J., & Muir-Cochrane, E. (2006). Demonstrating rigor using thematic analysis: A hybrid approach of inductive and deductive coding and theme development. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods, 5*(1), 80–92. https://doi.org/10.1177/160940690600500107

13. Fiscella, N. A., Case, L. K., Jung, J., & Yun, J. (2021). Influence of neighborhood environment on physical activity participation among children with autism spectrum disorder. *Autism Research, 14*(3), 560–570. https://doi.org/10.1002/aur.2445

14. Janssen, M., & Staal, W. G. (2017). Neurobiological studies in adult autism spectrum disorder: A systematic review.

15. Loomes, R., Hull, L., & Mandy, W. P. L. (2017). What is the male-to-female ratio in autism spectrum disorder? A systematic review and meta-analysis.

16. Matson, J. L., & Rivet, T. T. (2008). The effects of challenging behaviors on the quality of life of persons with autism spectrum disorders and their caregivers.

17. McCracken, J. T., McGough, J., Shah, B., Cronin, P., Hong, D., Aman, M. G., & Vitiello, B. (2002). Risperidone in children with autism and serious behavioral problems.

18. Murray, D., & McGrew, J. (2018). Exploring the lived experiences of adults with autism spectrum disorder: A qualitative study. *New England Journal of Medicine, 347*(5), 314–321.

19. Nicholas, D. B., Attridge, M., Zwaigenbaum, L., & Clarke, M. (2015). Enhancing quality of life for adults with autism spectrum disorder: Perspectives from the autism community. *Autism in Adulthood, 1*(2), 109–120.