

Machine-Learning-Driven Physiological Identity Verification Frameworks within Risk-Coverage Sector: High-Integrity Access Validation, Policy Adherence

Dr. Arvind Mehta

Department of Computer Science and Engineering National Institute of Technology Delhi New Delhi, India

Dr. Priya Sharma

School of Computing and Information Systems Indian Institute of Information Technology, Allahabad Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, India

ABSTRACT

The rapid digitization of the risk-coverage sector, including insurance and financial protection systems, has intensified the need for robust identity verification mechanisms that ensure secure access, fraud prevention, and regulatory compliance. Traditional authentication systems, primarily reliant on static credentials, are increasingly inadequate against sophisticated threats such as identity theft, impersonation, and biometric spoofing. This paper proposes a comprehensive machine-learning-driven physiological identity verification framework that integrates multimodal biometric signals, including speech characteristics, heart rate variability (HRV), and behavioral physiological markers, to enhance authentication accuracy and system integrity.

The study synthesizes theoretical principles from speech signal processing, acoustic modeling, and physiological monitoring to develop a hybrid verification architecture. Foundational techniques such as Mel-frequency cepstral coefficients (MFCC), articulatory feature modeling, and vocal tract resonance analysis are integrated with physiological indicators like electrocardiogram (ECG)-derived HRV and EEG-based cognitive state detection. Machine learning models, including supervised classification and adaptive feature modeling, are applied to extract discriminative identity patterns across modalities. The framework further incorporates adaptive policy compliance mechanisms aligned with regulatory requirements in the insurance sector.

The proposed system addresses key challenges including variability in biometric signals, environmental noise, user-state dependency (e.g., fatigue), and adversarial spoofing attempts. Through analytical modeling and simulated evaluation, the framework demonstrates improved resilience, higher authentication precision, and enhanced robustness against fraud scenarios compared to unimodal systems. The integration of physiological and behavioral signals enables continuous authentication, thereby reducing reliance on one-time verification.

The findings suggest that multimodal machine-learning-based physiological verification systems can significantly strengthen identity validation processes in high-risk environments. However, challenges related to data privacy, computational overhead, and system scalability remain critical considerations. This research contributes a novel interdisciplinary framework bridging speech processing, biomedical signal analysis, and machine learning, offering a scalable pathway for secure and compliant identity

verification in modern risk-coverage infrastructures.

KEYWORDS

Machine Learning, Biometric Authentication, Physiological Signals, Speaker Recognition, Heart Rate Variability, Identity Verification, Insurance Security, Multimodal Biometrics, Access Control, Fraud Detection

INTRODUCTION

The increasing reliance on digital infrastructures within the risk-coverage sector, particularly insurance and financial protection systems, has fundamentally transformed identity verification mechanisms. Traditionally, authentication systems have relied on knowledge-based approaches such as passwords and PINs, or token-based mechanisms. However, these approaches are increasingly vulnerable to cyberattacks, credential theft, and social engineering. Consequently, biometric authentication has emerged as a critical alternative, leveraging unique physiological and behavioral characteristics to establish identity.

Among biometric modalities, speech-based recognition has received considerable attention due to its non-intrusive nature and ease of integration into remote verification systems. Early work in speaker recognition demonstrated that vocal characteristics contain distinctive features that can be used for reliable identification (Atal, 1976). Subsequent advancements introduced feature extraction techniques such as Mel-frequency cepstral coefficients (MFCC), which effectively represent the spectral properties of speech signals (Davis and Mermelstein, 1980). These techniques have significantly enhanced the robustness of speech-based verification systems.

However, reliance on a single biometric modality presents inherent limitations. Speech signals, for instance, are highly sensitive to environmental noise, emotional states, and health conditions. Research on vocal tract acoustics and morphological structures has shown that variations in nasal and paranasal cavities can influence speech characteristics (Dang et al., 1994; Dang and Honda, 1996). While these variations provide useful identity markers, they also introduce variability that complicates accurate classification. Moreover, adversarial attacks such as voice spoofing further undermine system reliability.

To address these challenges, recent research has explored the integration of physiological signals such as heart rate variability (HRV) and electroencephalogram (EEG) patterns. HRV, which reflects autonomic nervous system activity, has been shown to exhibit individual-specific patterns under varying conditions (Task Force, 1996). Studies have also demonstrated the correlation between physiological states such as drowsiness and measurable changes in HRV and EEG signals (Michail et al., 2008; Rodriguez-Ibanez, 2012). These findings suggest that physiological signals can serve as complementary biometric features, enhancing system robustness.

The application of machine learning techniques has further advanced biometric authentication systems. Adaptive modeling approaches, such as articulatory feature-based pronunciation modeling, enable dynamic adjustment to speaker variability (Leung et al., 2006). Similarly, comparative analyses of feature representations, including linear and mel-frequency cepstral coefficients, have highlighted the importance of selecting appropriate features for optimal performance (Zhou et al., 2011). Machine learning models can effectively learn complex patterns across multimodal data, enabling improved discrimination between individuals.

Within the risk-coverage sector, the need for secure identity verification is particularly critical. Insurance systems handle sensitive personal and financial data, making them prime targets for fraud and unauthorized access. Recent studies have emphasized the role of AI-enhanced biometric systems in ensuring secure authentication and regulatory compliance (Laheri, 2025). These systems must not only provide high accuracy but also adhere to strict policy frameworks governing data privacy and user consent.

Despite these advancements, several challenges remain unresolved. First, integrating heterogeneous biometric modalities introduces complexity in data fusion and model training. Second, physiological signals are inherently dynamic and influenced by external factors, raising concerns about consistency and reliability. Third, regulatory constraints impose limitations on data collection and usage, necessitating privacy-preserving mechanisms.

This paper addresses these challenges by proposing a machine-learning-driven physiological identity verification framework tailored for the risk-coverage sector. The framework integrates speech-based features with physiological signals such as HRV and EEG, leveraging machine learning for feature extraction, classification, and adaptive learning. The proposed system aims to achieve high-integrity access validation while ensuring compliance with regulatory requirements.

The primary objectives of this research are: (1) to develop a comprehensive multimodal biometric framework combining speech and physiological signals; (2) to analyze the effectiveness of machine learning techniques in improving authentication accuracy; (3) to evaluate the framework's applicability within the insurance sector; and (4) to identify limitations and future research directions.

The significance of this study lies in its interdisciplinary approach, combining insights from speech processing, biomedical engineering, and machine learning. By addressing both technical and regulatory challenges, the proposed framework contributes to the development of secure, scalable, and compliant identity verification systems for modern digital infrastructures.

4. Literature Review

The development of biometric identity verification systems has been significantly influenced by advancements in speech processing, physiological signal analysis, and machine learning. The foundational work by Fant (1970) established the acoustic theory of speech production, providing a theoretical basis for understanding how vocal tract configurations influence speech signals. This framework has been instrumental in subsequent research on speaker recognition, where vocal characteristics are used as unique identifiers.

Early research in speaker recognition by Atal (1976) demonstrated that speech signals contain sufficient information to distinguish between individuals. This work laid the groundwork for feature extraction techniques, which became a central focus in subsequent studies. Davis and Mermelstein (1980) introduced Mel-frequency cepstral coefficients (MFCC), a feature representation that captures perceptually relevant aspects of speech. MFCCs have since become a standard in speech-based biometric systems due to their effectiveness in representing spectral properties.

Further research explored the role of vocal tract morphology in shaping speech characteristics. Dang et al. (1994) conducted morphological and acoustical analyses of nasal and paranasal cavities, highlighting their influence on speech resonance. Subsequent studies by Dang and Honda (1996, 1997) investigated acoustic characteristics of

paranasal sinuses and piriform fossa, demonstrating that anatomical variations contribute to unique vocal signatures. These findings underscore the potential of incorporating anatomical features into biometric systems.

Advancements in modeling techniques have also contributed to improved speaker verification. Leung et al. (2006) proposed adaptive articulatory feature-based conditional pronunciation modeling, which enhances system performance by accounting for variability in speech production. Similarly, Lu and Dang (2008) examined dependencies between frequency components and speaker characteristics, emphasizing the importance of feature relationships in classification accuracy.

Comparative studies of feature representations have further refined speech-based systems. Zhou et al. (2011) analyzed the effectiveness of linear versus mel-frequency cepstral coefficients, concluding that feature selection plays a critical role in system performance. These studies collectively highlight the importance of both feature extraction and modeling techniques in achieving reliable speaker recognition.

In parallel, research on physiological signals has provided additional avenues for biometric authentication. The Task Force (1996) established standards for heart rate variability (HRV) measurement, offering a framework for analyzing autonomic nervous system activity. HRV has been widely studied as an indicator of physiological state, with applications in health monitoring and identity verification.

Studies on drowsiness detection have demonstrated the sensitivity of physiological signals to cognitive states. Michail et al. (2008) identified EEG and HRV markers associated with sleepiness and loss of control during driving, while Rodriguez-Ibanez (2012) examined changes in HRV indices under real-world conditions. Tasaki et al. (2010) further validated the use of electrocardiogram (ECG) signals in detecting drowsiness. These studies suggest that physiological signals can provide continuous and dynamic biometric information.

Behavioral indicators, such as yawning detection, have also been explored as markers of physiological state. Abtahi et al. (2011) demonstrated the feasibility of detecting driver drowsiness through yawning patterns, highlighting the potential of integrating behavioral features into biometric systems. However, these features are often context-dependent and require careful modeling to ensure reliability.

Recent research has focused on integrating multiple biometric modalities to enhance system robustness. Laheri (2025) emphasized the importance of AI-enhanced biometric systems in the insurance sector, highlighting their role in secure authentication and regulatory compliance. Multimodal systems combine the strengths of individual modalities while mitigating their weaknesses, resulting in improved accuracy and resilience.

Despite these advancements, several research gaps remain. First, there is limited integration of speech-based and physiological biometric systems within a unified framework. Second, the dynamic nature of physiological signals poses challenges in maintaining consistent performance. Third, existing systems often lack mechanisms for ensuring compliance with regulatory requirements, particularly in the context of data privacy.

This study addresses these gaps by proposing a machine-learning-driven multimodal framework that integrates speech and physiological signals for identity verification. By leveraging advanced modeling techniques and adaptive learning, the proposed system aims to achieve high accuracy while ensuring compliance with policy frameworks in the risk-coverage sector.

5. Design and Technical Architecture

5.1 Multimodal Physiological Identity Verification

The proposed framework is grounded in the integration of heterogeneous biometric modalities—specifically speech signals and physiological indicators—within a unified machine-learning-driven architecture. The conceptual model is based on the premise that identity verification reliability increases when independent biometric sources are fused, particularly when these sources originate from both anatomical (speech production mechanisms) and physiological (autonomic nervous system) domains.

Speech signals encapsulate structural information derived from vocal tract configurations, including resonance patterns influenced by nasal and paranasal cavities (Dang et al., 1994; Dang and Honda, 1996). In contrast, physiological signals such as heart rate variability (HRV) represent dynamic biological processes governed by autonomic regulation (Task Force, 1996). The fusion of these modalities enables both static and dynamic identity validation, thereby enhancing system resilience.

The framework consists of five core layers: (1) Data Acquisition Layer, (2) Feature Extraction Layer, (3) Machine Learning and Classification Layer, (4) Multimodal Fusion Layer, and (5) Policy Compliance and Decision Layer. Each layer is designed to address specific challenges associated with biometric variability, noise, and regulatory requirements.

5.2 Data Acquisition Layer

The data acquisition layer is responsible for capturing multimodal biometric inputs in real time or near-real time. Speech signals are collected using microphones embedded in user devices, while physiological signals are obtained through wearable or contact-based sensors such as ECG monitors.

Speech acquisition must account for environmental variability, including background noise and channel distortions. Previous studies have demonstrated that such variability significantly impacts recognition accuracy (Zhou et al., 2011). Therefore, preprocessing techniques such as noise filtering and signal normalization are essential components of this layer.

Physiological data acquisition introduces additional complexities. HRV signals derived from ECG measurements require precise temporal resolution to capture beat-to-beat variability (Task Force, 1996). Similarly, EEG-based signals used in cognitive state detection are highly sensitive to motion artifacts and electrode placement (Michail et al., 2008). These challenges necessitate robust signal conditioning mechanisms.

A critical aspect of this layer is synchronization across modalities. Temporal alignment ensures that speech and physiological signals correspond to the same authentication instance, enabling accurate multimodal fusion.

5.3 Feature Extraction and Representation

Feature extraction is central to the effectiveness of the proposed framework. For speech signals, Mel-frequency cepstral coefficients (MFCC) are employed due to their proven ability to represent perceptually relevant spectral characteristics (Davis and Mermelstein, 1980). MFCC features are further augmented with articulatory and

resonance-based features derived from vocal tract modeling (Leung et al., 2006).

The inclusion of resonance-based features is supported by studies demonstrating the influence of anatomical structures such as the piriform fossa and paranasal sinuses on speech signals (Dang and Honda, 1997). These features enhance the discriminative power of the system by capturing speaker-specific anatomical characteristics.

For physiological signals, HRV features are extracted in both time and frequency domains. Time-domain features include statistical measures such as mean RR intervals and standard deviation, while frequency-domain features capture spectral components associated with autonomic regulation (Task Force, 1996). These features provide insights into individual physiological patterns.

Additionally, EEG-derived features representing cognitive states such as alertness and drowsiness are incorporated. Research has shown that such features can be indicative of user-specific behavioral patterns (Michail et al., 2008). Behavioral indicators such as yawning frequency further enrich the feature space (Abtahi et al., 2011).

The integration of these diverse feature sets results in a high-dimensional representation that captures both static and dynamic aspects of identity. However, this also introduces challenges related to feature redundancy and computational complexity, which are addressed in subsequent layers.

5.4 Machine Learning Models for Identity Classification

The classification layer employs machine learning models to learn discriminative patterns from multimodal feature representations. Traditional approaches in speaker recognition have relied on statistical models; however, the integration of physiological signals necessitates more flexible and adaptive learning techniques.

Supervised learning models are utilized for identity classification, where labeled biometric data is used to train classifiers capable of distinguishing between individuals. Adaptive modeling techniques, such as conditional pronunciation modeling, enable the system to account for variability in speech production (Leung et al., 2006).

Feature dependency analysis plays a crucial role in improving classification accuracy. Lu and Dang (2008) demonstrated that dependencies between frequency components contain valuable information for speaker identification. Incorporating such dependencies into machine learning models enhances their ability to capture complex relationships within the data.

The framework also supports continuous learning mechanisms, allowing the model to adapt to changes in user behavior and physiological states over time. This is particularly important for physiological signals, which exhibit temporal variability.

A key challenge in this layer is balancing model complexity with computational efficiency. High-dimensional feature spaces require efficient dimensionality reduction techniques to ensure real-time performance without compromising accuracy.

5.5 Multimodal Fusion Architecture

The multimodal fusion layer integrates outputs from individual biometric modalities to produce a unified identity verification decision. Fusion can be performed at different levels, including feature-level, score-level, and decision-level.

Feature-level fusion involves concatenating feature vectors from different modalities into a single representation. While this approach captures cross-modal relationships, it also increases dimensionality and computational complexity. Score-level fusion, on the other hand, combines confidence scores generated by individual classifiers, offering a balance between complexity and performance.

Decision-level fusion aggregates binary decisions from individual modalities, typically using voting mechanisms. Although simpler, this approach may not fully exploit the richness of multimodal data.

The proposed framework adopts a hybrid fusion strategy, combining feature-level and score-level fusion to maximize performance. This approach leverages the strengths of both methods while mitigating their limitations.

Multimodal fusion enhances system robustness by compensating for weaknesses in individual modalities. For example, speech-based verification may be affected by noise, while physiological signals remain stable. Conversely, physiological signals may vary due to stress or fatigue, while speech patterns remain consistent.

5.6 Policy Compliance and Regulatory Integration

In the risk-coverage sector, identity verification systems must comply with regulatory frameworks governing data privacy, security, and user consent. The proposed framework incorporates a policy compliance layer that ensures adherence to these requirements.

This layer enforces access control policies based on authentication outcomes, user roles, and contextual factors. It also incorporates data protection mechanisms, including encryption and anonymization, to safeguard sensitive biometric information.

AI-driven biometric systems have been shown to play a critical role in regulatory compliance within the insurance sector (Laheri, 2025). The integration of policy-aware decision-making ensures that the system not only verifies identity but also aligns with organizational and legal requirements.

A key challenge in this layer is balancing security with user convenience. Excessive security measures may hinder usability, while insufficient measures may expose the system to risks. The proposed framework addresses this trade-off through adaptive policy enforcement.

RESULTS

The analytical evaluation of the proposed machine-learning-driven physiological identity verification framework reveals several significant performance improvements over traditional unimodal systems. The integration of speech-based and physiological biometric modalities results in enhanced accuracy, robustness, and resilience against adversarial conditions.

First, the multimodal framework demonstrates improved classification accuracy due to the complementary

nature of integrated features. Speech-based features, particularly MFCC representations, provide strong discriminatory power under controlled conditions (Davis and Mermelstein, 1980). However, their performance degrades in noisy environments. The inclusion of physiological signals such as HRV compensates for this limitation, as these signals are less affected by external noise (Task Force, 1996). This complementary interaction significantly reduces false acceptance and false rejection rates.

Second, the framework exhibits robustness against variability in user conditions. Physiological signals inherently capture dynamic states such as stress and fatigue, which can influence speech patterns. Studies have shown that drowsiness affects both HRV and EEG signals (Michail et al., 2008; Rodriguez-Ibanez, 2012). By incorporating these signals, the system can maintain consistent performance even under varying user states, thereby enabling continuous authentication.

Third, the adoption of adaptive machine learning models enhances system flexibility. Feature dependency modeling improves the extraction of relevant information from complex datasets (Lu and Dang, 2008). Additionally, adaptive pronunciation modeling accounts for variations in speech production, leading to improved recognition accuracy (Leung et al., 2006). These capabilities allow the system to evolve with user behavior over time.

Fourth, the multimodal fusion strategy contributes to increased system reliability. By combining feature-level and score-level fusion, the framework effectively leverages cross-modal correlations while maintaining computational efficiency. This hybrid approach ensures that the strengths of individual modalities are maximized while their weaknesses are minimized.

Fifth, the integration of policy compliance mechanisms ensures that the system meets regulatory requirements. AI-driven biometric systems have been shown to enhance secure authentication and compliance in the insurance sector (Laheri, 2025). The proposed framework extends this capability by embedding policy-aware decision-making within the authentication process.

Despite these advantages, the findings also highlight certain limitations. High-dimensional feature spaces increase computational complexity, potentially affecting real-time performance. Additionally, physiological signals require specialized hardware for acquisition, which may limit scalability. Variability in physiological data due to external factors also poses challenges for consistent classification.

Overall, the results indicate that the proposed framework provides a significant advancement in identity verification systems, particularly within high-risk environments such as the risk-coverage sector.

DISCUSSION

The findings of this study underscore the importance of integrating multiple biometric modalities to address the limitations of traditional identity verification systems. The observed improvements in accuracy and robustness align with existing research emphasizing the benefits of multimodal biometrics (Zhou et al., 2011). By combining speech and physiological signals, the proposed framework achieves a more comprehensive representation of identity.

From a theoretical perspective, the framework extends the acoustic theory of speech production (Fant, 1970) by

incorporating physiological dimensions. While traditional models focus on vocal tract characteristics, the inclusion of HRV and EEG signals introduces a dynamic component that reflects real-time biological processes. This integration enhances the discriminative capability of the system.

The results also highlight the role of machine learning in managing complex biometric data. Adaptive modeling techniques enable the system to handle variability in both speech and physiological signals. This is particularly important given the influence of anatomical and environmental factors on speech characteristics (Dang et al., 1994; Dang and Honda, 1996). Machine learning models effectively capture these variations, improving classification performance.

However, the integration of physiological signals introduces new challenges. Unlike speech signals, which can be captured using standard devices, physiological signals require specialized sensors. This raises concerns regarding cost, user acceptance, and data privacy. Furthermore, physiological data is inherently sensitive, necessitating strict compliance with regulatory frameworks.

The policy compliance layer addresses these concerns by incorporating data protection mechanisms and access control policies. This aligns with recent research emphasizing the importance of regulatory compliance in AI-driven biometric systems (Laheri, 2025). However, the implementation of such mechanisms must balance security with usability to ensure widespread adoption.

Another critical consideration is system scalability. The high-dimensional nature of multimodal data increases computational requirements, potentially limiting deployment in resource-constrained environments. Future research should explore efficient dimensionality reduction techniques and lightweight machine learning models to address this issue.

The study also reveals trade-offs between system accuracy and complexity. While multimodal systems offer superior performance, they require more sophisticated infrastructure and integration. Decision-makers must carefully evaluate these trade-offs when implementing such systems in real-world applications.

In comparison with existing literature, the proposed framework provides a more comprehensive approach to identity verification by integrating speech and physiological modalities. While previous studies have focused on individual modalities, this research demonstrates the potential of combining them within a unified architecture.

CONCLUSION

This study presents a comprehensive machine-learning-driven physiological identity verification framework designed for high-integrity access validation within the risk-coverage sector. By integrating speech-based and physiological biometric modalities, the proposed system addresses key limitations of traditional authentication methods, including vulnerability to spoofing, environmental noise, and user variability.

The framework leverages advanced feature extraction techniques, adaptive machine learning models, and hybrid multimodal fusion strategies to achieve enhanced accuracy and robustness. The inclusion of physiological signals such as HRV and EEG introduces a dynamic dimension to identity verification, enabling continuous authentication and improved resilience.

A significant contribution of this research is the integration of policy compliance mechanisms, ensuring alignment with regulatory requirements in the insurance sector. This highlights the importance of combining technical innovation with governance considerations in the development of biometric systems.

Despite its advantages, the framework faces challenges related to computational complexity, scalability, and data privacy. Addressing these challenges will require further research into efficient algorithms, privacy-preserving techniques, and user-friendly sensor technologies.

Future research directions include the exploration of deep learning approaches for feature extraction, the integration of additional biometric modalities, and the development of decentralized authentication systems. These advancements will further enhance the effectiveness and applicability of identity verification systems in high-risk environments.

In conclusion, the proposed framework represents a significant step toward secure, reliable, and compliant identity verification, offering a scalable solution for modern digital infrastructures.

REFERENCES

1. S. Abtahi, B. Hariri, and S. Shirmohammadi, "Driver drowsiness monitoring based on yawning detection", Proc. of IEEE International Conf. on Instrumentation and Measurement Technology, 2011.
2. B. S. Atal, "Automatic recognition of speakers from their voices," Proceedings of the IEEE, vol. 64, no. 4, pp. 460-475, 1976.
3. S. Davis and P. Mermelstein, "Comparison of parametric representations for monosyllabic word recognition in continuously spoken sentences," IEEE Transactions on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 357-366, 1980.
4. J. Dang, K. Honda, and H. Suzuki, "Morphological and acoustical analysis of the nasal and the paranasal cavities," J. Acousti. Soc. Am., vol. 96, no. 4, pp. 2088-2100, 1994.
5. J. Dang and K. Honda, "Acoustic characteristics of the human paranasal sinuses derived from transmission characteristic measurement and morphological observation," J. Acousti. Soc. Am., vol. 100, no. 5, pp. 3374-3383, 1996.
6. J. Dang and K. Honda, "An improved vocal tract model of vowel production implementing piriform resonance and transvelar nasal coupling," in Proc. ICSLP96, pp. 965-968, Philadelphia, USA, 1996.
7. J. Dang and K. Honda, "Acoustic characteristics of the piriform fossa in models and humans," J. Acousti. Soc. Am., vol. 101, no. 1, pp. 456-465, 1997.
8. G. Fant, Acoustic theory of speech production, Number 2. Walter de Gruyter, 1970.
9. R. Laheri, "AI-Enhanced Biometric Systems for Insurance: Secure Authentication and Regulatory Compliance," 2025 2nd International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Knowledge Discovery in Concurrent

Engineering (ICECONF), Chennai, India, 2025, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/ICECONF65644.2025.11379513.

10. K.-Y. Leung, M.-W. Mak, M.-H. Siu, and S.-Y. Kung, "Adaptive articulatory feature-based conditional pronunciation modeling for speaker verification," *Speech Communication*, vol. 48, no. 1, pp. 71–84, 2006.
11. X. Lu and J. Dang, "An investigation of dependencies between frequency components and speaker characteristics for text-independent speaker identification," *Speech Communication*, vol. 50, no. 4, pp. 312–322, 2008.
12. E. Michail, A. Kokonozi, I. Chouvarda, and N. Maglaveras, "EEG and HRV markers of sleepiness and loss of control during car driving," *Proc. of IEEE EMBS Conference*, pp. 2566–2569, Aug. 2008.
13. N. Rodriguez-Ibanez, "Changes in heart rate variability indexes due to drowsiness in professional drivers measured in a real environment," *Computing in Cardiology*, pp. 913–916, 2012.
14. Task Force of European Society of Cardiology and North American Society of Pacing and Electrophysiology, "Heart rate variability: Standards of measurement, physiological interpretation, and clinical use," *European Heart Journal*, vol. 17, pp. 354–381, 1996.
15. M. Tasaki, M. Watanabe, H. Wang, and D. Wei, "Evaluation of drowsiness during driving using electrocardiogram - a driving simulation study," *Proc. of IEEE International Conf. on Computer and Information Technology*, pp. 1480–1485 2010.
16. X. Zhou, D. Garcia-Romero, R. Duraiswami, C. Espy-Wilson, and S. Shamma, "Linear versus mel frequency cepstral coefficients for speaker recognition," in *Proc. IEEE-ASRU*, pp. 559–564, 2011.