Readability, Governance, and Strategic Transparency in Corporate Narrative Disclosures: An Integrative Examination of Financial Reporting Quality

Dr. Matteo Rinaldi

Department of Economics and Management, University of Bologna, Italy

ABSTRACT

Corporate narrative disclosures occupy a central position in modern financial reporting, serving as the primary medium through which firms communicate performance, strategy, risks, and governance practices to a diverse set of stakeholders. Over the past several decades, accounting and finance scholarship has increasingly recognized that the usefulness of these disclosures depends not only on their informational content but also on their linguistic characteristics, particularly readability, clarity, conciseness, and balance. This study develops a comprehensive and integrative examination of the determinants, mechanisms, and consequences of narrative disclosure readability within the broader context of corporate governance and regulatory frameworks. Drawing strictly on foundational and contemporary literature in accounting, corporate governance, and disclosure regulation, this article synthesizes insights from readability theory, agency theory, signaling theory, and behavioral finance to explain why firms differ systematically in the complexity of their annual reports and regulatory filings.

The study advances prior research by theoretically connecting readability measures, such as those derived from established linguistic formulas, with governance structures including board composition, gender diversity, and oversight effectiveness. It further examines how regulatory interventions emphasizing plain English disclosure have reshaped managerial incentives and disclosure practices over time. Through an extensive qualitative and descriptive methodological approach, the article explains how reporting complexity influences investor behavior, earnings persistence interpretation, and market efficiency, while also considering managerial obfuscation as a strategic response to performance pressures and governance constraints.

The findings synthesized in this study suggest that more readable disclosures are generally associated with higher earnings persistence, lower information asymmetry, and more informed investor trading. However, the relationship is nuanced and contingent upon firm size, governance quality, regulatory environment, and managerial incentives. The discussion highlights unresolved tensions between completeness and conciseness, transparency and strategic opacity, and regulatory standardization versus managerial discretion. By offering a deeply elaborated theoretical framework and identifying critical gaps in existing literature, this article provides a foundation for future empirical and interdisciplinary research on narrative financial reporting and corporate transparency.

KEYWORDS

Financial disclosure readability, corporate governance, narrative reporting, plain English regulation, earnings persistence, managerial obfuscation

INTRODUCTION

Narrative disclosures have become one of the most influential components of corporate reporting in contemporary capital markets. While traditional financial statements provide numerical representations of a firm's financial position and performance, narrative sections such as management discussion and analysis, integrated reports, and regulatory filings offer contextual explanations, forward-looking information, and qualitative interpretations that shape how users understand quantitative data. Over time, regulators, investors, and scholars have increasingly recognized that the value of these narratives is not determined solely by what is disclosed, but also by how it is communicated. Readability, clarity, and linguistic accessibility have emerged as critical determinants of disclosure effectiveness, influencing investor comprehension, decision-making, and market outcomes (Li, 2008; Loughran and McDonald, 2016).

The growing emphasis on readability reflects broader transformations in financial markets. The expansion of retail investor participation, the globalization of capital flows, and the rise of algorithmic and text-based analysis tools have collectively heightened the importance of clear and interpretable disclosures. At the same time, corporate reporting has become more complex due to increasing regulatory requirements, sophisticated financial instruments, and expanding stakeholder expectations. This dual trend has created a tension between informational completeness and communicative clarity, raising fundamental questions about whether complexity in disclosures reflects unavoidable economic realities or deliberate managerial choices aimed at obfuscation.

Early research in accounting focused primarily on disclosure quantity, examining whether firms complied with mandatory requirements or voluntarily provided additional information to reduce information asymmetry (Belkaoui and Kahl, 1978; Ahmed and Nicholls, 1994). As disclosure practices matured, attention shifted toward qualitative aspects of reporting, including tone, readability, and narrative structure. Seminal work by Li (2008) demonstrated that annual report readability is systematically associated with earnings performance and persistence, suggesting that managers adjust disclosure complexity in response to economic conditions. Subsequent studies expanded this line of inquiry by incorporating regulatory interventions, investor behavior, and governance mechanisms (Miller, 2010; Loughran and McDonald, 2014).

Despite substantial progress, the literature remains fragmented. Studies often examine readability in isolation from governance structures or regulatory contexts, limiting our understanding of how institutional forces jointly shape disclosure practices. Moreover, while empirical findings generally suggest that more readable disclosures are beneficial for market transparency, there is growing recognition that excessive simplification may obscure important nuances or enable strategic impression management. Integrated reporting research further complicates this picture by highlighting trade-offs between conciseness, completeness, and balance (Melloni et al., 2017).

The present article addresses these gaps by offering a comprehensive and theoretically grounded synthesis of research on narrative disclosure readability and corporate governance. Rather than focusing on a single empirical setting or methodology, this study adopts an expansive analytical perspective that integrates insights from accounting, finance, governance, and regulatory studies. By doing so, it seeks to answer three interrelated questions: why do firms differ in the readability of their disclosures, how do governance and regulation influence these differences, and what are the broader implications for investors and capital markets?

In pursuing these questions, the article contributes to the literature in several ways. First, it situates readability within a broader governance framework, drawing on board composition and oversight literature to explain managerial disclosure incentives (Adams, 2003; Beasley, 1996; Bhagat and Bolton, 2008). Second, it contextualizes readability within regulatory efforts to promote plain English disclosures, analyzing how regulatory guidance reshapes corporate communication norms (U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, 1998; Loughran and McDonald, 2014). Third, it extends the discussion to emerging analytical approaches, including textual and multimodal analysis, highlighting how advances in data analytics have transformed the study of narrative disclosures (Loughran and McDonald, 2016; Tailor and Kale, 2025).

METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a qualitative, theory-driven methodological approach designed to synthesize and integrate existing knowledge on narrative disclosure readability and corporate governance. Rather than relying on original empirical data or statistical modeling, the methodology emphasizes deep conceptual analysis, critical interpretation of prior findings, and theoretical elaboration. This approach is particularly appropriate given the study's objective of developing a comprehensive framework that connects disparate strands of literature into a coherent whole.

The methodological foundation of the study rests on an extensive review of peer-reviewed academic research in accounting, finance, and corporate governance, as well as regulatory publications that have shaped disclosure practices. Core studies on readability measurement, including those employing established linguistic formulas, provide the basis for understanding how readability has been operationalized and interpreted in empirical research (McLaughlin, 1969; Li, 2008). Survey-based and review articles on textual analysis offer insights into methodological evolution and emerging research frontiers (Loughran and McDonald, 2016).

To ensure analytical rigor, the study systematically categorizes prior research into thematic domains, including readability and earnings attributes, regulatory interventions, investor behavior, and governance mechanisms. Within each domain, findings are examined not merely as isolated results but as components of broader theoretical narratives. For example, studies linking readability to earnings persistence are interpreted through the lens of signaling theory, while research on reporting complexity and investor trading is analyzed using behavioral finance perspectives (Miller, 2010).

The governance dimension is explored by integrating literature on board composition, oversight effectiveness, and disclosure incentives. Research demonstrating the role of boards in monitoring managerial behavior and shaping disclosure quality provides a governance-based explanation for observed variations in readability (Baysinger and Butler, 1985; Beasley, 1996). Gender diversity studies further enrich this analysis by highlighting how board characteristics influence managerial transparency and obfuscation tendencies (Nadeem, 2021).

Throughout the methodological process, particular attention is paid to contextual factors, including firm size, regulatory environment, and institutional setting. Comparative insights from studies conducted in different countries and regulatory regimes underscore the importance of institutional context in shaping disclosure practices (Ahmed and Nicholls, 1994; Barako et al., 2006; Apostolos and Konstantinos, 2009). This contextual sensitivity enhances the explanatory power of the analysis and avoids overly generalized conclusions.

RESULTS

The synthesis of prior research reveals several robust and interrelated patterns regarding narrative disclosure readability and its determinants. One of the most consistent findings across studies is that readability is systematically associated with firm performance and earnings characteristics. Firms with stronger and more persistent earnings tend to produce more readable disclosures, while those experiencing poor performance or volatile earnings often exhibit increased linguistic complexity (Li, 2008). This pattern suggests that managers adjust disclosure readability strategically, using complexity as a means of mitigating the negative market reactions associated with unfavorable outcomes.

Regulatory interventions emphasizing plain English disclosure have been shown to influence reporting practices, albeit unevenly. Evidence indicates that regulatory guidance can improve baseline readability levels, particularly for firms that previously relied heavily on technical jargon and legalistic language (Loughran and McDonald, 2014). However, the persistence of complex disclosures even after regulatory reforms suggests that managerial incentives and firm-specific factors continue to play a significant role.

Investor response to disclosure readability constitutes another key finding. Research demonstrates that reporting complexity affects trading behavior differently across investor types. Sophisticated investors appear better equipped to process complex narratives, while retail investors are more sensitive to readability constraints (Miller, 2010). This differential impact underscores the distributional consequences of disclosure practices and raises questions about fairness and market accessibility.

Governance mechanisms emerge as critical moderators of disclosure readability. Firms with stronger boards, characterized by greater independence and effective oversight, tend to exhibit more transparent and readable disclosures (Bhagat and Bolton, 2008). Conversely, weaker governance structures are associated with higher levels of managerial obfuscation, particularly in narrative sections where discretion is greatest (Beasley, 1996; Nadeem, 2021).

DISCUSSION

The findings synthesized in this study carry significant theoretical and practical implications. From a theoretical perspective, the relationship between readability and firm performance supports a signaling interpretation of disclosure practices. Managers of high-performing firms use clear and accessible language to credibly signal confidence in their results, while those facing adverse conditions may resort to complexity as a form of impression management (Li, 2008). However, this interpretation must be balanced against the possibility that complexity sometimes reflects genuine operational and financial intricacies rather than opportunistic behavior.

The governance dimension highlights the importance of internal monitoring mechanisms in shaping disclosure quality. Boards that are actively engaged in oversight appear more likely to encourage transparent communication, aligning disclosure practices with shareholder interests (Adams, 2003). Gender diversity further enhances this effect by introducing diverse perspectives and ethical considerations into board deliberations, thereby reducing the likelihood of obfuscatory reporting (Nadeem, 2021).

Regulatory efforts to promote plain English disclosures represent an important but incomplete solution. While regulation can establish minimum standards and signal normative expectations, it cannot fully eliminate managerial discretion or strategic behavior. The persistence of complex disclosures suggests that regulatory effectiveness depends on complementary governance mechanisms and market discipline (Loughran and

McDonald, 2014).

The discussion also highlights limitations in existing research. Many studies rely on readability formulas that, while useful, may not capture deeper semantic and contextual dimensions of narrative disclosures. Emerging approaches, including advanced textual and multimodal analysis, offer promising avenues for capturing tone, sentiment, and narrative coherence more comprehensively (Loughran and McDonald, 2016; Tailor and Kale, 2025).

CONCLUSION

This article provides an extensive and integrative examination of narrative disclosure readability within the broader contexts of corporate governance and regulatory frameworks. By synthesizing insights from a diverse body of literature, it demonstrates that readability is not merely a stylistic attribute but a strategically significant dimension of financial reporting with meaningful implications for investors and markets.

The analysis underscores that more readable disclosures are generally associated with higher transparency, better earnings interpretation, and more equitable investor participation. However, these benefits are contingent upon governance quality, regulatory context, and managerial incentives. The study concludes that improving disclosure readability requires a multifaceted approach that combines regulatory guidance, strong governance structures, and ongoing scholarly attention to evolving communication practices.

Future research should continue to explore the dynamic interplay between readability, technology, and investor behavior, particularly as advances in textual analysis reshape how disclosures are produced and consumed. By deepening our understanding of narrative financial reporting, scholars and practitioners alike can contribute to more transparent, efficient, and inclusive capital markets.

REFERENCES

1. Adams, R. (2003). What do boards do? Evidence from board committee and director compensation data. Working Paper, University of Queensland.

2. Ahmed, K. and Nicholls, D. (1994). The impact of non-financial company characteristics on mandatory disclosure compliance in developing countries: The case of Bangladesh. The International Journal of Accounting, 29, 62–77.

3. Apostolos, K. A. and Konstantinos, A. N. (2009). Voluntary accounting disclosure and corporate governance: Evidence from Greek listed firms. International Journal of Accounting and Finance, 1(4), 395–414.

4. Barako, D. G., Hancock, P. and Izan, H. Y. (2006). Factors influencing voluntary corporate disclosure by Kenyan companies. Corporate Governance: An International Review, 14(2), 107–125.

5. Baysinger, B. D. and Butler, H. (1985). Corporate governance and the board of directors: Performance effects of changes in board composition. Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization, 1, 101–134.

6. Beasley, M. (1996). An empirical analysis of the relationship between board of director composition and

financial statement fraud. The Accounting Review, 71, 443–465.

7. Belkaoui, A. and Kahl, A. (1978). Corporate financial disclosures in Canada. Research Monograph of the Canadian Certified General Accountants Association.

8. Bhagat, S. and Bolton, B. (2008). Corporate governance and firm performance. Journal of Corporate Finance, 14, 257–273.

9. Li, F. (2008). Annual report readability, current earnings, and earnings persistence. Journal of Accounting and Economics, 45(2/3), 221–247.

10. Loughran, T. and McDonald, B. (2014). Regulation and financial disclosure: The impact of plain English. Journal of Regulatory Economics, 45(1), 94–113.

11. Loughran, T. and McDonald, B. (2016). Textual analysis in accounting and finance: A survey. Journal of Accounting Research, 54(4), 1187–1230.

12. McLaughlin, H. G. (1969). SMOG grading – a new readability formula. Journal of Reading, 12(8), 639–646.

13. Melloni, G., Caglio, A. and Perego, P. (2017). Saying more with less? Disclosure conciseness, completeness and balance in integrated reports. Journal of Accounting and Public Policy, 36(3), 220–238.

14. Miller, B. P. (2010). The effects of reporting complexity on small and large investor trading. The Accounting Review, 85(6), 2107–2143.

15. Nadeem, M. (2021). Board gender diversity and managerial obfuscation: Evidence from the readability of narrative disclosure in 10-K reports. Journal of Business Ethics.

16. Tailor, P. and Kale, A. (2025). Multimodal sentiment analysis of earnings calls and SEC filings: A deep learning approach to financial disclosures. Utilitas Mathematica, 122, 3163–3168.

17. U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission. (1998). A plain English handbook: How to create clear SEC disclosure documents. Office of Investor Education and Assistance, Washington, DC.