Global Multidisciplinary Journal
eISSN: 2791-173X pISSN: 2791-2760

VOLUME04 ISSUE08
Published 31-08-2025 *Page No. 29-34*

Artificial Intelligence–Enabled Financial Anomaly Detection and Reconciliation: Governance, Risk, and Explainability in Modern Accounting Ecosystems

Dr. Alejandro M. Torres

Faculty of Economics and Business University of Barcelona, Spain

ABSTRACT

The rapid integration of artificial intelligence into financial management, accounting, and audit functions has fundamentally reshaped how organizations detect anomalies, perform reconciliations, manage risks, and ensure governance integrity. As enterprises face increasing data volumes, regulatory complexity, and pressure for faster financial closes, traditional rule-based and manual approaches have become insufficient. This research article develops a comprehensive, theory-driven, and empirically grounded examination of AI-enabled financial anomaly detection and reconciliation frameworks, drawing strictly from established professional surveys, governance frameworks, and peer-reviewed academic literature. Anchored in insights from global benchmarking studies, financial close surveys, AI governance standards, and machine learning research, the article explores how artificial intelligence transforms financial planning and analysis, bank reconciliation, fraud detection, and internal control systems.

The study adopts a qualitative, integrative research methodology that synthesizes findings from industry surveys by PwC and EY, conceptual models from COSO and NIST, and advanced machine learning approaches such as federated learning, autoencoders, naïve Bayes classifiers, and explainable AI techniques like SHAP. Rather than presenting mathematical formulations or empirical datasets, the article offers an extensive descriptive analysis of how these technologies operate within real organizational contexts, emphasizing governance, explainability, data privacy, and risk management. Particular attention is given to the tension between automation efficiency and human judgment, the evolving role of finance professionals, and the necessity of trustworthy AI systems in high-stakes financial environments.

The findings indicate that AI-driven anomaly detection significantly enhances the accuracy, timeliness, and scalability of financial oversight processes, while also introducing new categories of operational, ethical, and regulatory risk. Governance frameworks and internal controls emerge as essential mediating mechanisms that align technological capabilities with organizational accountability. The discussion highlights limitations related to data quality, model bias, explainability challenges, and cross-jurisdictional compliance, while outlining future research directions focused on hybrid human–AI audit models and globally harmonized AI governance structures. This article contributes to academic literature by offering a unified conceptual foundation for understanding AI-assisted financial anomaly detection and reconciliation as a socio-technical system rather than a purely technological innovation.

KEYWORDS

Artificial intelligence in accounting, financial anomaly detection, bank reconciliation automation, AI governance and controls, explainable AI in auditing, financial risk management

Global Multidisciplinary Journal
eISSN: 2791-173X pISSN: 2791-2760

VOLUME04 ISSUE08
Published 31-08-2025 *Page No. 29-34*

INTRODUCTION

The contemporary financial landscape is characterized by unprecedented complexity, velocity, and interconnectedness. Organizations operating in global markets are required to process vast volumes of financial data across multiple jurisdictions, accounting standards, and regulatory regimes, often within increasingly compressed reporting timelines. Financial planning and analysis functions, accounting departments, and audit teams are expected to deliver not only accurate financial statements but also forward-looking insights, continuous monitoring, and robust assurance against fraud and misstatement. Within this environment, artificial intelligence has emerged as a transformative force, promising to enhance efficiency, accuracy, and strategic value across the financial value chain.

Industry evidence suggests that finance functions are undergoing a structural shift from transactional processing toward analytical and advisory roles. Benchmarking studies indicate that leading organizations are leveraging advanced analytics and automation to reduce manual workloads, accelerate financial closes, and improve decision-making quality (PwC, 2023). At the same time, surveys of global finance leaders reveal persistent challenges related to data fragmentation, reconciliation bottlenecks, and limited visibility into anomalies that may signal errors or fraudulent activity (EY, 2024). These challenges are not merely operational; they have profound implications for governance, risk management, and stakeholder trust.

Artificial intelligence offers a suite of techniques capable of addressing these challenges. Machine learning algorithms can identify patterns and deviations in financial data that are imperceptible to human analysts, while automation technologies can streamline reconciliation processes across banking, treasury, and accounting systems. Research in digital accounting demonstrates that machine learning-based anomaly detection significantly outperforms traditional rule-based systems in identifying unusual transactions and journal entries (Digital Accounting Research, 2021). Similarly, studies comparing neural network models with human auditors suggest that AI systems can serve as effective complements to professional judgment, particularly in large-scale audit contexts (Schultz & Tropmann-Frick, 2020).

However, the adoption of AI in finance is not without controversy. Concerns regarding model transparency, data privacy, bias, and accountability have intensified as AI systems increasingly influence high-stakes financial decisions. Governance bodies and standard-setting organizations emphasize that without robust internal controls, AI may amplify rather than mitigate risk (COSO, 2023). Regulatory frameworks, such as the AI Risk Management Framework developed by NIST, underscore the importance of trustworthy AI principles, including explainability, fairness, and privacy protection, especially in domains involving sensitive financial data (NIST, 2023).

Despite a growing body of literature on AI applications in accounting and finance, significant gaps remain. Much of the existing research focuses on isolated use cases or specific algorithms, without integrating governance, risk management, and organizational context into a cohesive analytical framework. Moreover, industry surveys and academic studies are often examined separately, limiting the ability to translate empirical insights into holistic theoretical understanding. This article addresses these gaps by synthesizing professional practice insights with academic research, offering an in-depth exploration of AI-enabled financial anomaly detection and reconciliation as an integrated system embedded within broader governance and control structures.

METHODOLOGY

This research adopts a qualitative, integrative methodology designed to develop a comprehensive understanding of artificial intelligence applications in financial anomaly detection and reconciliation. Rather than employing statistical modeling or experimental design, the study relies on systematic analysis and synthesis of authoritative secondary sources. These sources include global industry surveys, governance frameworks, and peer-reviewed academic research that collectively represent the state of knowledge and practice in AI-driven finance.

The methodological approach is grounded in interpretive analysis, which seeks to derive meaning from diverse forms of evidence by examining underlying assumptions, theoretical constructs, and contextual factors. Industry surveys conducted by professional services firms provide insights into organizational adoption patterns, perceived benefits, and implementation challenges associated with AI in finance (PwC, 2023; EY, 2024). These surveys are treated not merely as descriptive reports but as reflections of evolving institutional norms and strategic priorities within the finance profession.

Governance and risk management frameworks developed by COSO and NIST serve as conceptual lenses through which AI applications are evaluated. These frameworks articulate principles for internal control, accountability, and risk mitigation, enabling an assessment of how AI systems can be aligned with established governance structures (COSO, 2023; NIST, 2023). By mapping AI capabilities onto these frameworks, the study examines the extent to which technological innovation supports or challenges traditional control paradigms.

Academic literature on machine learning techniques, including naïve Bayes classifiers, autoencoder neural networks, federated learning, and explainable AI, provides the technical foundation for understanding how AI detects anomalies and supports reconciliation processes (Rish, 2001; Schultz & Tropmann-Frick, 2020; McMahan et al., 2021; Müller et al., 2022). These studies are analyzed in terms of their conceptual contributions rather than their mathematical formulations, consistent with the descriptive orientation of the research.

The integration of these diverse sources follows a thematic synthesis approach. Key themes, such as efficiency gains, risk amplification, explainability, data privacy, and human–AI collaboration, are identified and explored in depth. By triangulating insights across industry, governance, and academic perspectives, the methodology supports the development of a nuanced, theoretically informed analysis of AI-enabled financial anomaly detection and reconciliation.

RESULTS

The synthesis of industry surveys, governance frameworks, and academic research reveals several interconnected outcomes regarding the impact of artificial intelligence on financial anomaly detection and reconciliation. These outcomes can be understood across operational, strategic, and governance dimensions, each reflecting a distinct yet interrelated set of transformations within finance functions.

From an operational perspective, AI systems demonstrate a pronounced capacity to enhance efficiency and accuracy in financial processes. Benchmarking evidence indicates that organizations employing advanced analytics and automation achieve faster financial closes and reduced reconciliation backlogs compared to peers relying on manual methods (PwC, 2023). AI-driven reconciliation tools are capable of continuously matching transactions across disparate systems, identifying exceptions in real time rather than through periodic reviews. This shift from batch processing to continuous monitoring represents a fundamental reconfiguration of financial operations.

In the domain of anomaly detection, machine learning algorithms exhibit superior performance in identifying unusual patterns within large and complex datasets. Research in digital accounting shows that unsupervised learning models, such as autoencoders, are particularly effective in detecting rare or previously unknown anomalies in journal entries and transaction data (Digital Accounting Research, 2021). Comparative studies further indicate that these models can complement external auditors by highlighting high-risk areas that warrant professional scrutiny (Schultz & Tropmann-Frick, 2020). The result is not the replacement of human judgment but its augmentation through data-driven insights.

Strategically, the adoption of AI reshapes the role of finance professionals and the value proposition of finance functions. Surveys of finance leaders suggest a gradual transition from transactional processing toward analytical and advisory activities, enabled by automation of routine tasks (EY, 2024). AI-supported forecasting, scenario analysis, and anomaly detection provide decision-makers with richer, more timely information, enhancing strategic agility. At the same time, this transition demands new skill sets, including data literacy, model interpretation, and governance oversight.

Governance-related results highlight both opportunities and challenges. Frameworks developed by COSO emphasize that AI systems must be embedded within robust internal control environments to ensure accountability and reliability (COSO, 2023). Explainable AI techniques, such as enhanced SHAP methodologies, address concerns regarding model transparency by providing interpretable explanations for anomaly detection outcomes (Müller et al., 2022). However, governance bodies also caution that without clear ownership, documentation, and monitoring, AI may introduce new forms of risk, including model drift, bias, and overreliance on automated outputs.

Data privacy and security emerge as critical considerations, particularly in light of regulatory expectations and stakeholder trust. Federated learning approaches offer a promising solution by enabling collaborative model training without centralized data sharing, thereby reducing privacy risks in enterprise AI deployments (McMahan et al., 2021). This aligns with principles articulated in the AI Risk Management Framework, which underscores the importance of safeguarding sensitive financial data throughout the AI lifecycle (NIST, 2023).

DISCUSSION

The findings of this research underscore that artificial intelligence in financial anomaly detection and reconciliation should be understood as a socio-technical system rather than a purely technological innovation. While AI delivers measurable operational benefits, its broader implications extend into governance, professional identity, and organizational culture. This section interprets the results through a critical and theoretical lens, examining underlying tensions, limitations, and future trajectories.

One of the central themes emerging from the analysis is the redefinition of trust in financial systems. Traditionally, trust has been anchored in human expertise, standardized procedures, and regulatory oversight. AI introduces a new locus of trust centered on algorithmic decision-making, raising questions about transparency and accountability. Explainable AI techniques partially address these concerns by making model outputs interpretable, yet they do not fully resolve the epistemological challenge of understanding complex machine learning systems (Müller et al., 2022). As a result, trust in AI-enabled finance may depend as much on governance structures and professional judgment as on technical performance.

Another critical issue relates to the balance between automation and human oversight. While AI excels at processing large datasets and identifying subtle patterns, it lacks contextual understanding and ethical reasoning. Studies comparing AI systems with external auditors suggest that the most effective audit outcomes arise from hybrid models that combine algorithmic detection with human evaluation (Schultz & Tropmann-Frick, 2020). This finding challenges deterministic narratives of automation and highlights the enduring importance of professional skepticism and domain expertise.

The discussion also reveals structural constraints that may limit the transformative potential of AI. Data quality remains a persistent challenge, as machine learning models are highly sensitive to inconsistencies, biases, and gaps in underlying datasets. Industry surveys report that many organizations struggle with fragmented data architectures, which undermine the effectiveness of AI-driven reconciliation and anomaly detection (EY, 2024). Addressing these challenges requires not only technological investment but also organizational change and data governance reform.

From a regulatory and ethical standpoint, the proliferation of AI in finance necessitates new approaches to risk management. The AI Risk Management Framework emphasizes continuous monitoring, impact assessment, and stakeholder engagement as essential components of trustworthy AI deployment (NIST, 2023). However, implementing these principles in practice is complex, particularly in multinational organizations subject to diverse regulatory regimes. This complexity underscores the need for globally harmonized standards and cross-disciplinary collaboration.

Future research directions emerge naturally from these considerations. Scholars may explore longitudinal studies examining how AI adoption reshapes audit quality, financial reporting reliability, and organizational resilience over time. Comparative research across industries and jurisdictions could illuminate contextual factors that influence AI effectiveness and governance outcomes. Additionally, interdisciplinary research integrating accounting, information systems, ethics, and law would enrich theoretical understanding of AI as a transformative force in finance.

CONCLUSION

This research article has provided an extensive, theoretically grounded exploration of artificial intelligence–enabled financial anomaly detection and reconciliation within modern accounting ecosystems. Drawing strictly from authoritative industry surveys, governance frameworks, and peer-reviewed academic literature, the study has demonstrated that AI represents both an opportunity and a challenge for finance functions. Its capacity to enhance efficiency, accuracy, and strategic insight is counterbalanced by concerns regarding transparency, governance, and risk.

The analysis reveals that successful AI adoption in finance depends not solely on algorithmic sophistication but on the integration of technology within robust internal control systems and ethical governance frameworks. Explainability, data privacy, and human oversight emerge as critical enablers of trustworthy AI. Rather than displacing finance professionals, AI reshapes their roles, elevating the importance of judgment, interpretation, and stewardship.

By conceptualizing AI-enabled anomaly detection and reconciliation as socio-technical systems embedded in organizational and regulatory contexts, this article contributes a holistic perspective to the academic discourse. It provides a foundation for future research and practice aimed at harnessing AI's potential while safeguarding the integrity and trustworthiness of financial systems in an increasingly digital world.

REFERENCES

1. COSO. (2023). AI governance and internal controls. COSO.
2. Deloitte. (2021). AI and the future of accounting. Deloitte.
3. Digital Accounting Research. (2021). Detecting anomalies in financial data using machine learning. Digital Accounting Research, 21(1), 1–22.
4. EY. (2024). Global financial close survey. EY.
5. Gartner. (2022). Hype cycle for artificial intelligence in finance. Gartner.
6. International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research. (2025). Artificial intelligence in bank reconciliation. International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research, 7(3), 45–60.
7. Journal of Corporate Financial Reporting. (2025). Detection of anomalies in large-scale financial statement fraud identification. Journal of Corporate Financial Reporting, 123, 1–18.
8. Kale, A. (2025). AI-assisted multi-GAAP reconciliation frameworks: A paradigm shift in global financial practices. Emerging Frontiers Library for The American Journal of Applied Sciences, 7(07), 67–77.
9. McMahan, B., et al. (2021). Federated learning for data privacy in enterprise AI. Proceedings of the IEEE, 109(6), 1013–1029.
10. Müller, R., Schreyer, M., Sattarov, T., & Borth, D. (2022). RESHAPE: Explaining accounting anomalies in financial statement audits by enhancing SHAP.
11. NIST. (2023). AI risk management framework: Data and privacy modules. NIST.
12. PwC. (2023). FP&A benchmarking survey. PwC.
13. Rish, I. (2001). An empirical study of the naïve Bayes classifier. IJCAI Workshop on Empirical Methods in AI, 3, 41–46.
14. Schultz, M., & Tropmann-Frick, M. (2020). Autoencoder neural networks versus external auditors: Detecting unusual journal entries in financial statement audits. HICSS Proceedings, 53, 1346–1355.