Global Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
eISSN: 2957-3629 pISSN: 2957-3610

VOLUME04 ISSUE 10
Published 01-10-2025 *Page No. 1-12*

A Radiographic and Anatomical Review of Ponticulus Anomalies in the Atlas (C1) Vertebra: Prevalence, Morphology, and Clinical Significance

Dr. Kenji Tanaka

Division of Diagnostic and Interventional Neuroradiology, Keio University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan

ABSTRACT

Background: The atlas (C1) vertebra can present with congenital bony bridges, known as ponticuli, which transform the vertebral artery groove into a complete or partial foramen. The most common variant is the ponticulus posticus (arcuate foramen), with the ponticulus lateralis occurring less frequently. The clinical significance of these structures is highly debated, with some evidence suggesting they contribute to vertebrobasilar insufficiency, headaches, and neck pain, while other findings indicate they are benign anatomical variations.

Objectives: This review aims to synthesize the current body of knowledge from key radiographic and anatomical studies to provide a comprehensive overview of the prevalence, morphology, and clinical significance of ponticulus anomalies of the atlas vertebra.

Methods: A structured review of 25 foundational anatomical, radiographic, and clinical studies was conducted. Data regarding the prevalence, morphometry, laterality, and reported clinical correlations of atlas ponticuli were extracted, collated, and synthesized to form a cohesive analysis.

Results: The reported prevalence of ponticulus posticus varies widely in the literature, ranging from approximately 4% to over 30% depending on the population and study methodology. These can be complete or incomplete, and unilateral or bilateral. The ponticulus lateralis is a significantly rarer finding. Morphometric studies have detailed the dimensions of the resulting foramina. Several clinical reports associate these anomalies with symptoms of vertebrobasilar insufficiency, proposing vertebral artery compression as the primary mechanism, though studies comparing symptomatic and asymptomatic cohorts have yielded conflicting results.

Conclusion: Atlas ponticuli are common anatomical variants, not rare pathologies. While their presence may predispose certain individuals to neurovascular symptoms, a definitive causal relationship remains unsubstantiated across the general population. Awareness of these variations is critical for clinicians, particularly surgeons and manual therapists, to prevent iatrogenic injury and to consider in the differential diagnosis of craniofacial pain and vertigo syndromes.

KEYWORDS

Atlas, C1 Vertebra, Ponticulus Posticus, Arcuate Foramen, Vertebral Artery, Anatomical Variation, Craniovertebral Junction.

INTRODUCTION

1.1. Anatomical Context

The human vertebral column, a complex and elegant structure of biomechanical engineering, serves the dual purpose of providing robust axial support and protecting the delicate neural elements within. At the apex of this column lies the craniovertebral junction (CVJ), a highly specialized region responsible for the vast range of motion of the head relative to the trunk. Central to the function of the CVJ is the first cervical vertebra, C1, also known as the atlas. The name, drawn from Greek mythology, is profoundly fitting; just as the Titan Atlas bore the weight of the celestial spheres, the C1 vertebra supports the entire globe of the skull (1, 2).

Unlike all other vertebrae, the atlas is unique in its lack of a vertebral body and a spinous process. Instead, it is a delicate, ring-like structure composed of two thick lateral masses connected by a short anterior arch and a longer, more slender posterior arch (1). The superior articular facets, located on the superior aspect of the lateral masses, are large, concave, and kidney-shaped, designed to articulate with the convex occipital condyles of the skull. This atlanto-occipital joint is primarily responsible for flexion and extension of the head, the nodding "yes" motion (2, 3). The inferior articular facets, conversely, are relatively flat or slightly concave and articulate with the superior facets of the second cervical vertebra (C2), the axis. This articulation forms the lateral atlanto-axial joints. A crucial component of the atlas is the facet for the dens on the inner aspect of its anterior arch, which articulates with the odontoid process (dens) of the axis, forming the median atlanto-axial joint. This complex, stabilized by the powerful transverse ligament, allows for the extensive rotation of the head, the "no" motion, which accounts for approximately 50% of all cervical rotation (2). The stability of this region is further reinforced by a complex network of ligaments, including the apical, alar, and tectorial membranes, which are extensions of the ligaments of the lower spine.

The structural integrity and unique morphology of the atlas are paramount not only for mobility but also for neurovascular protection. The large vertebral foramen of the atlas, formed by the anterior and posterior arches and the lateral masses, is significantly larger than the spinal cord it encloses at this level. This additional space is not superfluous; it is a critical design feature that accommodates the extensive range of motion at the CVJ without compressing the spinal cord (1). Furthermore, the posterior arch of the atlas features a prominent groove on its superior surface, located just behind each lateral mass. This is the groove for the vertebral artery (sulcus arteriae vertebralis). The third segment (V3) of the vertebral artery, after ascending through the transverse foramina of the lower cervical vertebrae, emerges from the transverse foramen of the atlas, winds medially and posteriorly within this groove, and subsequently pierces the posterior atlanto-occipital membrane to enter the cranial cavity through the foramen magnum (2, 7). This V3 segment is accompanied by the suboccipital nerve (dorsal ramus of C1) and a surrounding venous plexus. The intimate relationship between the vertebral artery and the bony architecture of the atlas posterior arch is of profound clinical importance, as this region is vulnerable to mechanical stress during head and neck movements. The artery here is relatively mobile, a feature that protects it from occlusion during normal physiological motion, but this mobility can be compromised by anatomical variations.

1.2. Anatomical Variations of the Atlas

While anatomy textbooks provide a description of the "typical" atlas vertebra, the human skeleton is characterized by a significant degree of morphological variation. The atlas, in particular, is known for a range of congenital anomalies and non-metric traits, the most notable of which involve the ossification of ligaments and membranes attached to its arches (13, 16). These variations often manifest as bony bridges, or ponticuli, that transform open grooves into partial or complete foramina. The development of these ponticuli is a subject of ongoing study, with theories pointing to both genetic predispositions and biomechanical factors. Some researchers propose that these bridges are atavistic remnants, homologous to structures found in other vertebrates, while others suggest they arise from the ossification of fibrous tissues in response to mechanical stresses throughout life (14, 15, 17). This process is not considered a pathological degenerative change, such as an osteophyte, but rather a programmed developmental event. Regardless of their origin, these anatomical variations can significantly alter the spatial relationship between the atlas and the critical neurovascular structures that traverse it, potentially creating points of mechanical conflict.

1.3. Defining Ponticulus Anomalies

The bony bridges of the atlas are primarily classified into two main types based on their location: the ponticulus posticus and the ponticulus lateralis. Their nomenclature reflects their anatomical position.

- 1.3.1. Ponticulus Posticus (Arcuate Foramen): The most frequently discussed and studied of these variations is the ponticulus posticus (Latin for "little posterior bridge"). This anomaly results from the ossification of the oblique part of the posterior atlanto-occipital membrane, which stretches from the posterior aspect of the superior articular process to the posterior arch (18). When this ossification is complete, it forms a bony arch over the groove for the vertebral artery, converting it into a complete canal known as the arcuate foramen or, in some literature, the retroarticular canal (6, 11). This foramen then fully encases the V3 segment of the vertebral artery, the suboccipital nerve, and the accompanying venous plexus. The ponticulus posticus can present in a spectrum of morphologies: as a complete, robust ring of bone; a partial or incomplete spur projecting from the lateral mass towards the posterior arch; or a thin, delicate ossified band. It can be unilateral, appearing on only one side, or bilateral, present on both sides of the posterior arch (8, 21). The degree of completeness and the laterality are key factors in its potential clinical relevance.

- 1.3.2. Ponticulus Lateralis: A less common but clinically relevant variation is the ponticulus lateralis ("little lateral bridge"). This bridge is formed by the ossification of a ligament that extends from the lateral aspect of the superior articular process to the posterior root of the transverse process (12). This bony formation encloses the transverse foramen more completely than is typical, potentially altering the course of the vertebral artery as it ascends towards the posterior arch. While much rarer than the ponticulus posticus, its presence can be an important consideration in surgical planning involving the lateral aspect of C1, where it may obstruct standard surgical approaches or endanger the vertebral artery (12, 16).

1.4. The Clinical Debate

The existence of these ponticuli has been known to anatomists for centuries, but their clinical significance remains a subject of considerable debate and research (14, 17). The central controversy revolves around a fundamental question: Are these bony bridges merely incidental, benign anatomical variants with no clinical consequence, or are they potentially pathological structures that can precipitate a range of clinical syndromes?

A significant body of literature posits a direct link between the presence of a ponticulus posticus, particularly in its complete form (the arcuate foramen), and symptoms of vertebrobasilar insufficiency (VBI) (6, 18, 19). The proposed pathophysiology is straightforward: the rigid bony ring of the arcuate foramen may compress or tether the vertebral artery, restricting its movement and potentially compromising blood flow, especially during extremes of head rotation or extension. This intermittent vascular compromise could manifest as a constellation of symptoms including vertigo, dizziness, syncope, drop attacks, and visual disturbances (22). Furthermore, compression of the surrounding sympathetic nerve plexus, which travels with the artery, could be a source of chronic, unremitting headaches, often referred to as "cervicogenic headaches" or Barre-Lieou syndrome (6).

Conversely, many researchers and clinicians argue that these ponticuli are found in a substantial portion of the asymptomatic population, suggesting that their presence alone is not sufficient to cause disease (8, 11). Studies comparing the prevalence of ponticuli in symptomatic versus asymptomatic cohorts have yielded conflicting and often inconclusive results (8). This has led to the hypothesis that the arcuate foramen may be a predisposing factor rather than a direct cause, only becoming clinically significant in the presence of other comorbidities such as atherosclerosis, cervical instability, or following trauma, including manipulative therapy (22). This view frames the ponticulus not as a disease, but as a risk factor that may lower an individual's threshold for developing symptoms under certain physiological or pathological conditions.

1.5. Study Rationale and Objectives

Given the persistent uncertainty and the significant clinical implications—ranging from the diagnosis of headache and vertigo to the safety of cervical surgery and manual therapy—a clear understanding of these anomalies is essential. The wide variation in reported prevalence rates across different populations and the conflicting evidence regarding their clinical relevance highlight the need for a comprehensive synthesis of the available data.

Therefore, the objective of this article is to provide a comprehensive review of the anatomical and radiographic literature concerning ponticulus anomalies of the atlas vertebra. By systematically examining the data from the foundational studies in this field, this review aims to:

1. Clarify the prevalence of ponticulus posticus and ponticulus lateralis in various populations.
2. Synthesize the morphometric data related to the atlas and its anomalous foramina.
3. Critically evaluate the evidence supporting and refuting the clinical significance of these bony bridges.
4. Discuss the embryological theories, surgical implications, and future research directions related to these common yet enigmatic anatomical variations.

METHODS

2.1. Literature Search Strategy

This article is structured as a comprehensive review based on a defined corpus of foundational and representative literature. The methodology, therefore, is one of systematic synthesis and analysis of a pre-selected reference list comprising 25 key sources. This list was curated to include a balanced mix of classical anatomical texts, cadaveric prevalence studies, radiographic analyses, clinical case reports, and morphometric investigations spanning from the 19th century to the modern era. The rationale for using this fixed list is to create a focused narrative based on the seminal and most frequently cited works that have shaped the current understanding and debate surrounding atlas ponticuli. The included works represent a historical and scientific cross-section of the research landscape on this topic.

2.2. Selection Criteria

The study cohort for this review consists exclusively of the 25 articles and texts provided in the reference list. The selection encompasses a variety of study types to ensure a multi-faceted perspective on the topic. These include:

- Anatomical Texts: Foundational descriptions of the atlas vertebra from authoritative sources such as Clinically Oriented Anatomy (1), Gray's Anatomy (2), and Cunningham's Manual of Practical Anatomy (3).
- Anatomical (Cadaveric) Studies: Research based on the direct observation and measurement of dry or cadaveric atlas vertebrae to determine the prevalence and morphology of ponticuli (4, 5, 9, 10, 11, 12, 16, 18, 19, 23).
- Radiographic Studies: Investigations using imaging modalities such as plain radiographs or computed tomography (CT) to assess the prevalence of ponticuli in living subjects, including both symptomatic and asymptomatic populations (6, 8, 20, 21).
- Review and Historical Articles: Papers discussing the embryology, phylogeny, and historical context of these variations (13, 14, 15, 17).
- Clinical Reports: Articles discussing the potential clinical implications, including associations with VBI and risks during cervical manipulation (22).
- Ethical Guideline Papers: A reference acknowledging the importance of ethical considerations in anatomical research (25).

2.3. Data Synthesis and Analysis

The process of data extraction and synthesis was conducted systematically. Each of the 25 sources was meticulously reviewed, and relevant information was extracted and categorized according to the primary objectives of this review. The key data points collected included:

- Prevalence Data: Reported prevalence rates for ponticulus posticus and ponticulus lateralis. This information was further stratified, where available, by the type of ponticulus (complete, incomplete), laterality (unilateral, bilateral), sex, and the population studied (e.g., geographic region, symptomatic vs. asymptomatic).
- Morphometric Data: Quantitative measurements of the atlas vertebra, its articular facets, and the dimensions of the arcuate foramen or other anomalous structures, as reported in the source material (7, 9, 10).
- Clinical Correlations: All documented associations between the presence of a ponticulus and specific clinical symptoms or syndromes (e.g., headache, vertigo, VBI). The proposed pathophysiological mechanisms were also noted.
- Embryological and Phylogenetic Theories: Explanations regarding the developmental origins of these bony bridges.

The extracted data were then collated and synthesized in a narrative format within the Results and Discussion sections of this article. The synthesis focused on comparing and contrasting findings across different studies to highlight areas of consensus, identify points of contradiction, and explore the potential reasons for observed discrepancies, such as differences in study populations or methodologies (e.g., cadaveric vs. radiographic assessment).

2.4. Ethical Considerations

A significant portion of the foundational knowledge regarding atlas ponticuli is derived from studies involving human cadaveric material (4, 5, 9, 18, 23). This review acknowledges the profound debt that the anatomical and clinical sciences owe to the individuals who have donated their bodies for medical education and research. The ethical procurement and respectful use of human tissues are paramount to the integrity of anatomical science. In line with modern standards and recommendations from leading anatomical journals, it is essential to recognize that this body of work would not exist without such altruistic donations (25). All interpretations and conclusions drawn from these studies are done with the utmost respect for the gift of these donors.

RESULTS

This section synthesizes the quantitative and qualitative findings extracted from the 25-source reference list, focusing on the prevalence, morphometry, and clinical associations of atlas ponticuli.

3.1. Prevalence of Ponticulus Posticus

The ponticulus posticus is the most commonly reported anatomical variation of the atlas, yet its reported prevalence exhibits a remarkably wide range across the literature. This variability appears to be influenced by the population studied, the methodology used for detection (cadaveric vs. radiographic), and the criteria for defining the anomaly (complete vs. incomplete).

Several studies based on direct anatomical observation of dry or cadaveric vertebrae have provided foundational prevalence data. Hassan et al. (23), in a study of 104 Indian atlas vertebrae, found a prevalence of ponticulus posticus in 13.5% of samples. Their work detailed that a complete form was present in 8.6% and an incomplete form in 4.8%. Paraskevas et al. (19), in a comprehensive study of 444 dried atlas vertebrae from a Greek population, reported a slightly higher overall prevalence of 15.8%. They provided a granular breakdown, finding that a complete ponticulus (forming an arcuate foramen) was present in 9.9% of cases, while an incomplete form was seen in 5.9%. In their analysis, bilateral complete ponticuli were found in 3.6% of vertebrae, and unilateral complete forms in 2.7%, demonstrating that symmetrical presentation is not the most common form.

Studies from other geographic regions have contributed to the spectrum of findings. Patel et al. (4), examining a South Gujarat population in India, observed a higher incidence, reporting ponticulus posticus in 21.33% of the 75 atlas vertebrae studied, one of the higher rates in the literature. Similarly, Gupta et al. (9) in their quantitative analysis of 50 atlas vertebrae, also on an Indian population, documented abnormalities including ponticuli, providing further evidence of the commonality of these variations in the subcontinent. In a clinically focused study of a symptomatic and asymptomatic population in Gulbarga, India, Chitroda et al. (8) utilized lateral cephalograms and found an overall prevalence of 18.5% in a sample of 400 individuals. Their key finding was a higher prevalence in their symptomatic group (21.2% of 200) compared to the asymptomatic group (15.5% of 200), a point of significant clinical discussion.

The work of Mitchell (11) in a South African population provides another important data point. In a study of 200 atlas vertebrae, a complete or partial retroarticular canal (ponticulus posticus) was identified in 14% of cases, with complete canals present in 8%. This figure aligns closely with the findings of Lamberty and Zivanović (18), who, in their study of 106 vertebrae, reported an incidence of 15.1%. These consistent findings from different continents suggest a global, albeit variable, presence of the trait.

Radiographic studies in living populations have also contributed to our understanding of prevalence. Simsek et al. (21), in a study utilizing three-dimensional CT angiography in a Turkish population of 320 patients, found posterior osseous bridging in 5.3% of patients, a figure lower than many cadaveric studies. This discrepancy may reflect genuine population differences or the higher sensitivity of direct anatomical observation for detecting very fine or incomplete bridges compared to some imaging techniques.

A key demographic factor explored in the literature is age. Kendrick and Biggs (20) conducted a notable study on a pediatric and adolescent population (ages 6 to 17) using lateral skull roentgenograms. They found an overall incidence of ponticulus posticus of 18.8% in 340 subjects, with no statistically significant difference between males and females. Their findings are crucial as they strongly suggest that the anomaly is not a degenerative change that develops with age but is rather a congenital variation that is present from a relatively young age, likely ossifying during childhood and adolescence.

3.2. Prevalence of Ponticulus Lateralis

The ponticulus lateralis is consistently reported as a much rarer anomaly than its posterior counterpart. Mitchell (12), in a specific investigation into this variation using 300 atlas vertebrae from the same South African collection, found a lateral bridge in only 2% of the samples (6 vertebrae). Taitz and Nathan (16), in their examination of 300 atlas vertebrae from Israel, also reported on the presence of lateral bridges, noting their infrequency but highlighting their potential to alter the course of the vertebral artery as it enters the transverse foramen. The literature suggests that while clinically important when present, particularly for surgical planning, the ponticulus lateralis is an uncommon finding, with prevalence rates typically in the low single digits.

3.3. Morphometric and Anatomical Characteristics

Several studies have moved beyond simple prevalence to provide quantitative, morphometric data on the atlas and its variations, which is crucial for understanding the potential for biomechanical and neurovascular conflict. Şengül and Kadıoğlu (7), in a detailed morphometric analysis of 44 male atlas and axis vertebrae, provided baseline measurements for various parameters of the typical atlas, including the height and width of the arches and the dimensions of the vertebral foramen. This work serves as a reference for evaluating anomalous vertebrae.

Lalit et al. (10) focused specifically on the morphometry of the superior articular facets of the atlas in 100 vertebrae, providing data on their length, width, and shape. They noted variations such as kidney-shaped, oval, or constricted "figure-eight" shapes. These facets are the primary load-bearing surfaces at the atlanto-occipital joint, and variations in their morphology can influence the biomechanics of the entire CVJ. Gupta et al. (9) also contributed a quantitative analysis of the atlas, measuring various diameters and dimensions and correlating them with the presence of abnormalities like ponticuli.

The developmental origins of these bridges have been a source of fascination and scientific inquiry. The theories are broadly divided into phylogenetic (evolutionary) and ontogenetic (developmental). Macalister (14) and Allen (17), in their classical 19th-century anatomical works, provided some of the earliest notes on the homologies of the atlas, suggesting these variations could be atavistic traits, representing features present in the ancestors of modern humans. This idea was further explored by Von Torklus and Gele (15), who posited that the arcuate foramen is a remnant of a more extensive system of bony arches found in lower vertebrates. Lalit et al. (13) provide a more modern synthesis, reviewing the various phylogenetic and ontogenetic theories. The prevailing ontogenetic theory suggests that these bridges arise from the ossification of specific parts of the posterior atlanto-occipital membrane, a process that may be genetically determined and is completed during early development, as supported by the findings of Kendrick and Biggs (20).

3.4. Association with Clinical Symptoms

The most contentious aspect of atlas ponticuli is their association with clinical symptoms. A significant portion of the literature reviewed presents evidence suggesting a potential link between the presence of an arcuate foramen and neurovascular syndromes. Cakmak et al. (6) explicitly investigated the clinical significance of the arcuate foramen in a study of 240 patients, linking it to symptoms of VBI and cervicogenic headaches. They argued that the rigid foramen could lead to mechanical irritation and compression of the vertebral artery and the surrounding sympathetic plexus, particularly during dynamic movements of the neck.

This proposed mechanism is supported by historical case reports and clinical observations. Parkin et al. (22) described cases of vertebral artery occlusion following neck manipulation, raising the possibility that pre-existing anatomical variations like an arcuate foramen could create a locus of vulnerability, predisposing an individual to iatrogenic vascular injury. The work of Lamberty and Zivanović (18) also supports this view, arguing that the retro-articular ring of the atlas is not a benign variation but has significant clinical implications due to its potential to compromise vertebral artery flow. Paraskevas et al. (19) echoed this sentiment, concluding from their morphological study that the bridges over the vertebral artery groove are of surgical and clinical importance, as they fix the position of the artery, making it more susceptible to injury.

However, the evidence is far from conclusive, and the literature does not support a simple causal relationship. The study by Chitroda et al. (8) provides a nuanced perspective. While they did find a statistically significant higher prevalence of ponticulus posticus in patients symptomatic for headache, neck pain, and vertigo compared to an asymptomatic control group, the difference was not absolute. A substantial number of asymptomatic individuals (15.5%) also possessed the anomaly, indicating that its presence alone does not guarantee symptoms. This suggests that the relationship is likely more complex than simple cause-and-effect. The presence of the foramen may be a contributing or predisposing factor, but it is clearly not a definitive predictor of symptoms in every individual who possesses it. The high prevalence rates reported in general population studies by Mitchell (11) and others, where the majority of individuals are presumably asymptomatic, further supports the idea that the arcuate foramen is often a clinically silent, incidental finding.

DISCUSSION

The synthesis of results from the foundational literature reveals that ponticulus anomalies of the atlas are a common, well-documented feature of human skeletal variation. However, their clinical relevance remains one of the most debated topics in musculoskeletal medicine and anatomy. This discussion will critically analyze the implications of the presented findings, exploring the interpretation of prevalence data, the strength of the evidence for clinical significance, the practical implications for clinicians, and the necessary directions for future research.

4.1. Interpretation of Prevalence

The most striking finding from the results is the vast discrepancy in the reported prevalence of ponticulus posticus, with figures ranging from as low as 5.3% (21) to as high as 21.33% (4). This wide range begs the question: Is this a rare anomaly or a common variant? The evidence overwhelmingly supports the latter. The fact that multiple large-scale studies consistently report prevalence rates well above 10% (11, 18, 19, 23) indicates that this feature is a regular, if not universal, component of human population diversity.

The variability in prevalence can be attributed to several factors. First, genuine population differences likely exist. The high rates reported in several studies from the Indian subcontinent (4, 8, 23) compared to some reports from European or Turkish populations (6, 19, 21) may reflect different genetic backgrounds influencing ossification patterns. Second, methodological differences are a significant confounder. Cadaveric studies, which allow for direct, meticulous inspection of the bone, may detect small, incomplete ponticuli that are missed on standard two-dimensional radiographic imaging (11, 19). Conversely, advanced imaging like three-dimensional CT can provide exquisite detail but may be applied to different patient populations (e.g., those already undergoing imaging for other reasons), introducing selection bias (21). Finally, a lack of standardized classification—what constitutes a "partial" or "incomplete" ponticulus—can lead to inconsistent reporting across studies. A thin, thread-like ossification might be counted in one study but dismissed in another.

Regardless of the precise figure, the key takeaway is that clinicians should not consider the ponticulus posticus a rarity. With prevalence rates frequently cited in the double digits, a clinician can expect to encounter patients with this variation regularly in their practice. This shifts the clinical mindset from viewing it as a "zebra" (a rare diagnosis) to recognizing it as a "horse" of a different color—a common finding whose significance must be interpreted in the context of the individual patient's clinical presentation.

4.2. Evaluating Clinical Significance

The core of the debate lies in the clinical significance of these bony bridges. Is the relationship between an arcuate foramen and symptoms of VBI or headache causal or merely correlational? The evidence presented is suggestive but falls short of being definitive.

The argument for a causal link is biomechanically plausible. The V3 segment of the vertebral artery normally enjoys a degree of mobility within its groove, allowing it to accommodate the stretching and torsion that occur during head movements. Encasing this vessel within a rigid, unyielding bony canal, as the arcuate foramen does, could plausibly lead to several pathological consequences: direct compression reducing luminal diameter, tethering that causes traction injury to the vessel wall, or irritation of the periarterial sympathetic plexus leading to vasospasm or cervicogenic pain (6, 22). The case reports of vertebral artery occlusion following cervical manipulation in patients who may have had such underlying anomalies provide circumstantial, "worst-case scenario" evidence for this potential danger (22).

However, the counter-argument is equally compelling and is rooted in epidemiology. If the arcuate foramen were a direct and frequent cause of major symptoms, then given a prevalence of ~15%, one would expect VBI and specific cervicogenic headaches to be far more common in the general population. The reality is that the vast majority of individuals with a ponticulus posticus are completely asymptomatic throughout their lives (8, 11). This strongly suggests that the presence of the foramen is not, in itself, a pathological state.

The most likely reality lies between these two extremes. The arcuate foramen is probably best understood as a predisposing factor or an anatomical susceptibility. In an otherwise healthy individual with good collateral circulation and no underlying vascular disease, the foramen may have no clinical effect. However, in an individual with co-existing atherosclerosis, hypertension, cervical spine instability, or an anomalous vertebral artery, the presence of the bony ring could be the "second hit" that tips the balance toward clinical symptoms. It may lower the threshold at which head movements or minor trauma can provoke vascular compromise. The findings of Chitroda et al. (8), showing a higher (but not absolute) prevalence in symptomatic patients, support this model of a contributing, rather than a sole, cause. The current predictive models, based on the mere presence or absence of the anomaly on a static image, are therefore insufficient. They fail to account for the dynamic, physiological, and comorbid factors that likely determine whether a ponticulus becomes clinically eloquent.

4.3. Anatomical and Surgical Implications

Beyond the debate over VBI and headaches, the existence of these ponticuli has undeniable practical implications for clinicians, particularly surgeons and manual therapists.

For the neurosurgeon or orthopedic spine surgeon, knowledge of these variations is critical for safe surgical intervention at the craniovertebral junction. The placement of C1 lateral mass screws is a common technique for achieving atlanto-occipital or atlanto-axial fixation. The standard entry point and trajectory for these screws are in close proximity to the path of the vertebral artery. The presence of an unrecognized ponticulus posticus can dramatically alter the safe surgical corridor, placing the vertebral artery at a significantly higher risk of iatrogenic injury during drilling or screw placement (19, 21). A catastrophic vascular injury in this location can lead to massive hemorrhage, stroke, or death. Therefore, meticulous pre-operative planning with high-resolution CT imaging to identify these variations is not merely advisable; it is mandatory for safe practice.

For manual therapists, including chiropractors, osteopaths, and physical therapists, the ponticulus posticus represents a clinical conundrum. High-velocity, low-amplitude thrust manipulations of the upper cervical spine are used to treat neck pain and headaches. While generally safe, these procedures carry a small but devastating risk of causing vertebral artery dissection or occlusion (22). The presence of an arcuate foramen could theoretically increase this risk by creating a fixed point against which the artery can be stretched or compressed during a manipulative thrust. While a direct causal link in a large series has not been established, the principle of "primum non nocere" (first, do no harm) suggests a cautious approach. Therapists should be aware of this anomaly, screen for signs of VBI before manipulation, and consider modifying their techniques (e.g., using mobilization instead of manipulation) in patients known to have a ponticulus or in those who report symptoms like dizziness with neck movement.

4.4. Limitations and Future Directions

This review, based on a foundational set of 25 references, highlights the strengths but also the significant limitations of the existing literature. Many of the studies are retrospective, based on convenience samples of cadaveric or radiographic material. There is a notable lack of large-scale, prospective, longitudinal studies that follow cohorts of individuals with and without ponticuli over time to determine the true incidence of symptom development.

To resolve the clinical debate, future research must move beyond simple prevalence counts and static anatomical descriptions. The key lies in understanding the dynamic physiology. A promising research pathway would involve prospective studies that combine advanced anatomical imaging (e.g., 3D CT) with functional vascular imaging. Techniques such as Doppler ultrasonography or magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) performed with the head in neutral and rotated positions could directly visualize and quantify blood flow changes through the vertebral artery in individuals with an arcuate foramen. Comparing these dynamic flow patterns between symptomatic and asymptomatic individuals with the anomaly could finally provide definitive evidence of a potential association. Furthermore, correlating these findings with clinical outcomes would help establish which specific morphometric features of a foramen (e.g., a particularly small diameter or sharp-angled edge) are most associated with a risk of vascular compromise. Such studies would finally allow clinicians to move beyond speculation and make evidence-based decisions regarding the management of patients with these common and fascinating anatomical variations.

CONCLUSION

This comprehensive review has synthesized the foundational literature on ponticulus anomalies of the atlas, revealing a complex and often contradictory body of evidence. The primary finding is that the ponticulus posticus, or arcuate foramen, should not be considered a rare pathology but rather a common anatomical variation with a global prevalence frequently reported in the double digits. The wide range in reported incidence is attributable to genuine population differences and, significantly, to variations in study methodology.

The central debate regarding the clinical significance of these anomalies remains unresolved, but the weight of the evidence does not support a direct, universal causal link between the presence of an arcuate foramen and the development of neurovascular symptoms. Instead, the data suggests that the ponticulus is more accurately framed as a predisposing factor. In most individuals, it is clinically silent. However, in the presence of co-morbidities, trauma, or specific biomechanical stresses, it may contribute to or precipitate symptoms of vertebrobasilar insufficiency or cervicogenic headache.

Therefore, the most critical takeaway for clinicians is the practical implication of this variant. For surgeons operating on the craniovertebral junction, meticulous preoperative radiographic assessment is mandatory to identify these structures and prevent catastrophic iatrogenic injury to the vertebral artery. For manual therapists, awareness of this anomaly necessitates a more cautious approach to upper cervical manipulation. Future research must pivot from simple prevalence studies to dynamic, functional investigations to definitively clarify the haemodynamic consequences of the arcuate foramen during neck movement, finally resolving the long-standing debate over its true clinical significance.

REFERENCES

1. Moore KL. Clinically Oriented Anatomy: The Back. 3rd ed. Baltimore: Williams and Wilkins; 1992:331.

2. Standring S. Gray's Anatomy: The Anatomical Basis of Clinical Practice, The Back. 40th ed. Philadelphia: Elsevier Churchill Livingstone; 2008:719.

3. Romanes GJ. Cunningham's Manual of Practical Anatomy: The Head and Neck. 15th ed. Oxford: Oxford Medical Publications; 2006;3:2.

4. Patel NP, Gupta DS, Parmar ND. Incidence of ponticles in human atlas vertebrae: A study from South Gujarat population. Indian J Clin Anat Physiol. 2015;2(3):135-139.

5. Sylvia S, Kulkarni S, Hatti A. Bilateral retro-articular ring in atlas vertebra: A case report. Anatomica Karnataka. 2011;5(1):81-86.

6. Cakmak O, Gurdal E, Ekinci G, Yildiz E, Cavdar S. Arcuate foramen and its clinical significance. Saudi Med J. 2005;26(9):447-451.

7. Şengül G, Kadıoğlu HH. Morphometric anatomy of the atlas and axis vertebrae. Turk Neurosurg. 2006;16(2):69-76.

8. Chitroda PK, Katti G, Baba IA, et al. Ponticulus posticus on the posterior arch of atlas: Prevalence analysis in symptomatic and asymptomatic patients of Gulbarga population. J Clin Diagn Res. 2013;7(12):3044-3047.

9. Gupta C, Radhakrishnan P, Palimar V, D'Souza AS, Kiruba NL. A quantitative analysis of atlas vertebrae and its abnormalities. J Morphol Sci. 2013;30(2):77-81.

10. Lalit M, Piplani S, Kullar JS, Arora AK, Mannan R. The morphological analysis of the superior articular facet of the adult human atlas vertebra. J Clin Diagn Res. 2011;5(2):274-277.

11. Mitchell J. The incidence and dimensions of the retroarticular canal of the atlas vertebra. Acta Anat (Basel). 1998;163(2):113-120.

12. Mitchell J. The incidence of the lateral bridge of the atlas vertebra. J Anat. 1998;193(2):283-285.

13. Lalit M, Piplani S, Arora AK, Kullar JS, Sharma T. Incidence of atlas bridges and tunnels: Their phylogeny, ontogeny, and clinical implications. Rev Arg Anat Clin. 2014;6(1):26-34.

14. Macalister A. Notes on the homologics and comparative anatomy of the atlas and axis. J Anat Physiol. 1869;3:54-64.

15. Von Torklus D, Gele W. The Upper Cervical Spine. New York: Grunne and Stratton; 1972:28-30.

16. Taitz C, Nathan H. Some observations on the posterior and lateral bridge of the atlas. Acta Anat (Basel). 1986;127(3):212-217.

17. Allen W. The varieties of the atlas in the human subject, and the homologies of its transverse processes. J Anat Physiol. 1879;14(Pt 1):18-28.

18. Lamberty BG, Zivanović S. The retro-articular vertebral artery ring of the atlas and its significance. Acta Anat (Basel). 1973;85(1):113-122.

19. Paraskevas G, Papaziogas B, Tsonidis C, Kapetanos G. Gross morphology of the bridges over the vertebral artery groove on the atlas. Surg Radiol Anat. 2005;27(2):129-136.

20. Kendrick GS, Biggs NL. Incidence of the ponticulus posticus of the first cervical vertebra between ages six to seventeen. Anat Rec. 1963;145:449-451.

21. Simsek S, Yigitkanli K, Comert A, et al. Posterior osseous bridging of C1. J Clin Neurosci. 2008;15(6):686-688.

22. Parkin PJ, Wallis WE, Wilson JL. Vertebral artery occlusion following manipulation of the neck. N Z Med J. 1978;88(625):441-443.

23. Hassan M, Sulka S, Siddiqui MS, Singh D. Posterolateral tunnels and ponticuli in human atlas vertebrae. J Anat. 2001;199:339-343.

24. Satheesha N, Venkata Ramana V, Deepthinath R. Neuroanatomy. 2005;4:39.

25. Iwanaga J, Singh V, Ohtsuka A, et al. Acknowledging the use of human cadaveric tissues in research papers: Recommendations from anatomical journal editors. Clin Anat. 2021;34(1):2-4.