Global Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
eISSN: 2957-3629 pISSN: 2957-3610

VOLUME04 ISSUE 07
Published 01-07-2025 *Page No. 1-8*

Perioperative Anesthetic Approaches and Risk Management in Gastrointestinal Endoscopic Procedures

Dr. David M. Larsen

Division of Gastrointestinal Anesthesia, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota, USA

Prof. Chiara Benedetti

Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care, University of Bologna, Italy

ABSTRACT

Gastrointestinal (GI) endoscopic procedures have become integral to both diagnostic and therapeutic interventions, with increasing complexity requiring advanced perioperative anesthetic management. This review explores contemporary anesthetic approaches and risk mitigation strategies tailored to the diverse range of GI endoscopic procedures, including esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD), colonoscopy, endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP), and endoscopic ultrasound (EUS). Special attention is given to airway management, sedation techniques, patient positioning, and the management of procedure-specific risks such as aspiration, hypoxia, and cardiovascular instability. The review also addresses considerations in high-risk populations, including the elderly, patients with obstructive sleep apnea, and those with significant cardiopulmonary comorbidities. Emphasis is placed on multidisciplinary planning, individualized sedation plans, and the use of capnography and other monitoring tools to enhance safety and improve outcomes.

KEYWORDS

Gastrointestinal endoscopy, anesthetic management, procedural sedation, perioperative risk, airway management, ERCP, EUS, aspiration prevention, patient safety, sedation strategies.

INTRODUCTION

Gastrointestinal (GI) endoscopic procedures are indispensable tools in modern medicine for both diagnostic and therapeutic purposes, ranging from routine colonoscopies and gastroscopies to complex endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) and endoscopic ultrasound (EUS). The increasing prevalence and complexity of these procedures necessitate safe and effective anesthetic or sedation strategies to ensure patient comfort, optimize procedural conditions, and mitigate potential complications [1, 22]. While often considered minimally invasive, GI endoscopy is not without inherent risks, particularly related to the administration of sedatives and

analgesics, and the physiological challenges posed by the procedure itself [10]. Patients undergoing these procedures represent a diverse population, often with significant comorbidities that can impact anesthetic management and increase the risk of adverse events [9].

Effective perioperative management in GI endoscopy requires a comprehensive understanding of patient factors, procedural demands, and the pharmacological properties of anesthetic agents. The goal is to provide a level of sedation or anesthesia that ensures patient immobility and comfort, facilitates optimal visualization for the endoscopist, and maintains physiological stability throughout the procedure and into recovery [22, 28]. This involves meticulous preoperative risk stratification, selection of appropriate anesthetic techniques, vigilant intraoperative monitoring, and structured post-procedure care.

This article aims to provide a comprehensive review of current anesthetic strategies and risk mitigation techniques employed in gastrointestinal endoscopic procedures. It will delve into the critical aspects of patient assessment, various sedation and anesthesia approaches, airway management, fluid considerations, and the unique challenges presented by specific patient populations. By synthesizing current evidence and guidelines, this review seeks to highlight best practices for optimizing patient safety and procedural success in the dynamic environment of GI endoscopy.

METHODS

This article presents a comprehensive narrative review of the current literature pertaining to perioperative anesthetic and physiological management in patients undergoing gastrointestinal endoscopic procedures. The methodology involves a synthesis of information from clinical guidelines, expert consensus statements, peer-reviewed original research, and review articles. While not a systematic review with a predefined search strategy, the discussion of anesthetic strategies and risk mitigation is informed by the types of evidence typically generated in the fields of anesthesiology and gastroenterology.

Key areas of focus for this review include:

- Preoperative Patient Assessment and Risk Stratification: Evaluation of patient comorbidities, physiological status, and specific risk factors that may influence anesthetic management and outcomes. This includes the application of established risk assessment tools.
- Anesthetic Techniques and Sedation Strategies: Discussion of the continuum of sedation, pharmacological agents commonly used, and the roles of different healthcare providers in administering sedation.
- Airway Management and Oxygenation: Strategies for maintaining airway patency, ensuring adequate ventilation, and preventing hypoxemia during endoscopy.
- Fluid and Vascular Access Management: Importance of venous access and fluid considerations in the perioperative period.
- Management of Specific Patient Populations: Addressing the unique anesthetic challenges posed by patients with conditions such as obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) and obesity, as well as those on GLP-1 agonists.
- Intraoperative Monitoring and Post-Procedure Care: Essential monitoring parameters and guidelines for safe recovery and discharge.

The information presented is derived from the provided references, which collectively offer insights into general anesthetic considerations [1], specific risk stratification tools [2, 3, 7, 8, 9, 12], challenges in particular patient

groups [4, 5, 6, 13, 17, 25], vascular access techniques [14, 15, 16, 19], gastric volume assessment [21], informed consent [18], preoperative preparation [20], sedation guidelines [22, 23, 24, 28], and advanced oxygenation techniques [29]. These sources form the foundational evidence for discussing best practices in perioperative anesthetic management for GI endoscopic procedures.

RESULTS

The successful and safe conduct of GI endoscopic procedures hinges on a multifaceted approach to perioperative anesthetic management, encompassing thorough patient assessment, tailored anesthetic techniques, vigilant monitoring, and robust risk mitigation strategies.

Patient Assessment and Risk Stratification

A comprehensive preoperative evaluation is the cornerstone of safe anesthetic practice in GI endoscopy. This involves assessing the patient's overall health status and identifying potential risks. The American Society of Anesthesiologists Physical Status (ASA-PS) classification system is widely used to categorize patients based on their systemic disease burden, providing a general indicator of anesthetic risk [9, 12]. Studies have shown the utility of ASA classification in predicting adverse events post-endoscopy [9]. Beyond general health, specific risk factors require detailed attention:

- Cardiac Risk: The Revised Cardiac Risk Index (RCRI) is a valuable tool for assessing the likelihood of perioperative cardiac events in patients with known cardiac disease or risk factors [7]. This helps guide further cardiac workup or optimization before the procedure.
- Bleeding Risk: For procedures with potential for bleeding, the Glasgow-Blatchford Bleeding Score (GBS) can be utilized to assess the risk of rebleeding or significant hemorrhage, informing decisions regarding antiplatelet or anticoagulant management [8].
- Airway Assessment: Airway compromise is a significant concern during sedation. A detailed airway assessment is crucial, utilizing tools like the Airway Protection Score Development [3] and a decision tree for airway risk stratification [2] to identify patients at high risk for airway obstruction or aspiration. Factors such as obesity, obstructive sleep apnea (OSA), and anatomical abnormalities can increase airway difficulty [4, 5].
- Specific Patient Populations:

o Obstructive Sleep Apnea (OSA): Patients with OSA are at increased risk for sedation-related respiratory complications, including hypoxemia and airway obstruction, due to their underlying compromised airway mechanics [4, 25]. Anesthetic plans for these patients require careful titration of sedatives and enhanced airway vigilance.

o Obesity: Obese patients present multiple challenges, including difficult venous access [13], altered pharmacokinetics of anesthetic drugs [25], increased risk of OSA, and difficulties with patient positioning and airway management [5]. Specialized considerations for sedation in obese patients are critical [25].

o GLP-1 Agonist Use: The American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) has issued guidelines for the preoperative management of patients taking GLP-1 agonists (e.g., semaglutide, liraglutide) due to the risk of delayed gastric emptying and increased aspiration risk [6]. Specific fasting recommendations are often necessary for these patients.

- Bowel Preparation and Electrolyte Imbalance: For lower GI endoscopy, bowel preparation can lead to

significant fluid and electrolyte disturbances, particularly in vulnerable patients [17]. Preoperative assessment should include evaluation of hydration and electrolyte status.

- Gastric Volume Assessment: Point-of-care ultrasound (POCT) for gastric volume assessment is an emerging technique that can help identify patients at higher risk for aspiration, especially in non-fasted or emergency settings [21].
- Preoperative Carbohydrate Intake: Studies suggest that preoperative carbohydrate intake can improve patient comfort and reduce insulin resistance without increasing aspiration risk in selected patients [20].
- Informed Consent: Obtaining comprehensive informed consent is paramount, ensuring patients understand the risks and benefits of the procedure and the sedation plan [18].

Anesthetic Techniques and Sedation Strategies

The choice of anesthetic technique for GI endoscopy spans a continuum from minimal sedation to general anesthesia, dictated by patient factors, procedural complexity, and local institutional policies [22, 28].

- Continuum of Sedation:
  - Minimal Sedation (Anxiolysis): Patients respond normally to verbal commands.
  - Moderate Sedation (Conscious Sedation): Patients respond purposefully to verbal commands or light tactile stimulation. Spontaneous ventilation is adequate.
  - Deep Sedation: Patients are not easily aroused but respond purposefully after repeated or painful stimulation. Airway intervention may be required, and spontaneous ventilation may be inadequate.
  - General Anesthesia: Patients are unconscious and unresponsive to painful stimuli. Airway intervention is typically required, and ventilation is often impaired [22, 28].
- Pharmacological Agents: Propofol is widely favored for its rapid onset, short duration of action, and favorable recovery profile, making it suitable for deep sedation or general anesthesia in endoscopy [23, 24]. Other agents include benzodiazepines (e.g., midazolam) and opioids (e.g., fentanyl), often used in combination for moderate sedation.
- Provider Role: Guidelines from organizations like the American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ASGE) and the American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) provide frameworks for who can administer sedation [22, 28]. While moderate sedation is often administered by non-anesthesiologist endoscopists or nurses, deep sedation and general anesthesia typically require the presence of an anesthesiologist due to the increased risk of respiratory and hemodynamic compromise [22, 24, 28].
- Challenges in Obese Patients: Sedation in obese patients is particularly challenging due to increased risk of hypoxemia, difficult airway, and altered drug distribution [25]. Careful titration of propofol, often based on lean body weight [27], and proactive airway management are essential.

Airway Management and Oxygenation

Maintaining a patent airway and ensuring adequate oxygenation are paramount during GI endoscopy.

- Airway Protection: Given the risk of aspiration, especially during upper GI endoscopy, strategies to protect the airway are crucial. The Airway Protection Score Development [3] can help guide the need for advanced airway interventions.

- Oxygen Supplementation: Routine oxygen supplementation is recommended for most patients undergoing endoscopic sedation [11]. High-flow nasal oxygenation (HFNO) has shown promise in reducing the incidence of hypoxemia during GI endoscopy with sedation, particularly in patients at risk [29]. This technique provides heated and humidified oxygen at high flow rates, offering better oxygenation and CO2 clearance compared to standard oxygenation [29].

Fluid and Vascular Access Management

Adequate vascular access is fundamental for administering medications, fluids, and managing emergencies.

- Venous Access: Establishing reliable intravenous (IV) access can be challenging, especially in difficult-to-access patients such as obese individuals [13, 16].
- Ultrasound-Guided IV Cannulation: Ultrasound-guided IV cannulation has emerged as a highly effective technique for improving success rates and reducing complications in patients with difficult venous access [14, 15]. Its utility has been demonstrated even for procedures like colonoscopy, where IV infusion is critical [19].
- Fluid Management: While massive fluid shifts are less common than in CRS-HIPEC, appropriate fluid management is still important to maintain hydration, especially after bowel preparation [17], and to compensate for any fasting-related deficits.

Intraoperative Monitoring and Post-Procedure Care

Vigilant monitoring throughout the procedure and a structured recovery process are vital for patient safety.

- Intraoperative Monitoring: Standard monitoring includes continuous electrocardiography (ECG), pulse oximetry, non-invasive blood pressure, and capnography [11]. Capnography is particularly useful for monitoring ventilatory status during sedation, providing early detection of hypoventilation or apnea [22, 28].
- Cardiopulmonary Events: Despite careful management, cardiopulmonary events, such as hypoxemia, hypotension, and arrhythmias, can occur post-endoscopy [10]. Therefore, continuous monitoring into the recovery phase is essential.
- Safety Guidelines: Adherence to established safety guidelines for GI endoscopy, such as those from the ASGE [11], is crucial for minimizing adverse events.
- Recovery and Discharge: Patients should be monitored in a post-anesthesia care unit (PACU) until they meet specific discharge criteria, including stable vital signs, adequate pain control, and full recovery from sedation [11].

DISCUSSION

The findings from the reviewed literature underscore the critical importance of a meticulous and individualized approach to perioperative anesthetic management in gastrointestinal endoscopic procedures. The increasing complexity of these procedures and the growing number of patients with significant comorbidities necessitate a heightened awareness of potential risks and the implementation of robust mitigation strategies.

Thorough preoperative patient assessment and risk stratification are paramount. The judicious application of tools like the ASA-PS classification [9, 12], RCRI [7], and GBS [8] allows clinicians to identify high-risk patients and tailor anesthetic plans accordingly. Furthermore, specific attention to airway assessment, utilizing scores and decision trees [2, 3], is crucial given the high incidence of airway compromise during sedation. The emergence of point-of-care ultrasound for gastric volume assessment [21] represents a promising advancement

in identifying aspiration risk, particularly in patients with delayed gastric emptying, such as those on GLP-1 agonists [6].

The choice of anesthetic technique and the level of sedation must be carefully matched to the patient's physiological status and the procedural demands. While moderate sedation is often sufficient for routine procedures, the benefits of propofol-based deep sedation or general anesthesia, particularly for complex or prolonged cases, are increasingly recognized [23, 24]. However, the administration of deeper levels of sedation mandates the presence of an anesthesiologist due to the increased risk of respiratory and hemodynamic instability [22, 28]. The challenges posed by specific patient populations, such as those with OSA and obesity, cannot be overstated. These patients require highly individualized anesthetic plans, often involving careful drug titration based on lean body weight [27], proactive airway management strategies, and vigilant monitoring for hypoxemia [25, 29]. The use of high-flow nasal oxygenation has emerged as a valuable tool to improve oxygenation and reduce hypoxemia in at-risk patients [29].

Ensuring adequate vascular access, even in challenging patients, is fundamental for safe anesthetic delivery and emergency management [13, 16]. The widespread adoption of ultrasound-guided IV cannulation has significantly improved success rates in this regard [14, 15, 19]. Intraoperative monitoring, including capnography, is essential for real-time assessment of ventilation and early detection of adverse events [22, 28]. Post-procedure, continued vigilance in the recovery phase is necessary to identify and manage any delayed cardiopulmonary events [10].

The interdisciplinary collaboration between endoscopists, anesthesiologists, and nursing staff is crucial for optimizing patient safety and procedural efficiency. Clear communication and adherence to established safety guidelines [11] are vital for minimizing complications and ensuring a smooth patient journey from pre-procedure to discharge.

Limitations: This narrative review is based on a selected set of references and does not constitute an exhaustive systematic review of all available literature on anesthetic strategies in GI endoscopy. Therefore, the conclusions drawn are primarily informed by the insights provided in the cited articles. Further research, particularly large-scale prospective studies, is needed to validate emerging techniques and refine existing guidelines.

CONCLUSION

Perioperative anesthetic management in gastrointestinal endoscopic procedures is a dynamic and evolving field that demands a high level of expertise and vigilance. The successful and safe conduct of these procedures relies on a comprehensive approach encompassing meticulous preoperative patient assessment and risk stratification, the judicious selection and administration of anesthetic agents, proactive airway management, and continuous physiological monitoring. Addressing the unique challenges posed by specific patient populations, such as those with obstructive sleep apnea and obesity, is paramount. By adhering to established guidelines, leveraging advanced techniques like ultrasound-guided vascular access and high-flow nasal oxygenation, and fostering strong interdisciplinary collaboration, healthcare providers can significantly mitigate risks, enhance patient safety, and ultimately optimize outcomes for individuals undergoing GI endoscopic procedures. Continued research and the implementation of evidence-based practices will further refine these strategies, ensuring the highest standard of care in this critical area of medicine.

REFERENCES

1. Fuentes M, et al. Anesthetic Considerations in GI Endoscopy. Revista Médica Del Hospital General de México. 2021.
2. Pardo, et al. Decision tree for airway risk stratification. Unpublished Clinical Guideline. 2020.
3. Pardo, et al. Airway Protection Score Development. Journal of Anesthesiology. 2019.
4. Cha JM, Jeun JW, Pack KM, et al. Risk of Sedation in OSA Patients. World J Gastroenterol. 2013;19:4745-4751.
5. Peri-Operative Management of the Obese Surgical Patient. Anaesthesia. Wiley Online Library. 2015.
6. American Society of Anesthesiologists. Preoperative Management of GLP-1 Agonists. [Accessed 18 June 2024].
7. Revised cardiac risk index. American heart association guidelines. 2020.
8. Glasgow-Blatchford Bleeding Score. British Journal of Surgery. 2015.
9. Enestvedt BK, Eisen GM, Holub J, et al. ASA Classification Utility. Gastrointest Endosc. 2013;77:464-471.
10. Sharma VK, Nguyen CC, Crowell MD, et al. Cardiopulmonary Events Post-Endoscopy. Gastrointest Endosc. 2007;66:27-34.
11. Calderwood AH, Chapman FJ, Cohen J, et al. Safety Guidelines for GI Endoscopy. Gastrointest Endosc. 2014;79:363-72.
12. American Society of Anesthesiology. Physical Status Classification. 2014, Accessed 19 April 2015, Available from: http://www.asahq.org/resources/clinical-information/asa-physical-status-classification-system
13. Nafiu OO, Burke C, Cowan A, et al. Venous Access in Obese Children. Paediatr Anaesth. 2010;20:172-176.
14. Miles G, Salcedo A, Spear D. Nurse-Led Ultrasound IV Program. J Emerg Nurs. 2012;38:353-356.
15. Chinnock B, Thornton S, Hendey GW. Predictors of Ultrasound-Guided Cannulation Success. J Emerg Med. 2007;33:401-405.
16. Lapostolle F, Catineau J, Garrigue B, et al. Venous Access Difficulty in Emergencies. Intensive Care Med. 2007;33:1452-1457.
17. Electrolyte Imbalance in Bowel Preparation. Gastroenterology Journal. 2018.
18. Zuckerman MJ, Shen B, Harrison ME, et al. Informed Consent for GI Endoscopy. Gastrointest Endosc. 2007;66:213-218.
19. Xu Q, Tu H, Xiang S, et al. Ultrasound-Guided IV Infusion in Colonoscopy. Ann Palliat Med. 2021;10(1):61-73.
20. Çakar E, Yilmaz E, Çakar E, et al. Preoperative Carbohydrate Intake Effects. J Perianesth Nurs. 2017;32(6):589-599.
21. Xu et al. Gastric Volume Assessment by POCT Ultrasound. Anesthesiology and Perioperative Science. 2024. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s44254-024-00052-8.
22. ASGE Guidelines for Sedation in GI Endoscopy. American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy. 2018.
23. Bazerbachi et al. Sedation Strategies in GI Endoscopy. Gastroenterology Report. 2022.

24. Goudra B, Saumoy M. Anesthesia for Endoscopic Procedures. Clinical Endoscopy. 2022.

25. Sundararaman L, Goudra B. Sedation Challenges in Obese Patients. J Clin Med. 2024;13:4635. Available from: https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm13164635.

26. Devine BJ. Gentamicin Therapy. Drug Intelligence & Clinical Pharmacy. 1974;8(11):650-655.

27. Janmahasatian S, et al. Quantification of Lean Body Weight. Clinical Pharmacokinetics. 2005;44(10):1051-1065.

28. ASGE guidelines for sedation and anesthesia in GI endoscopy, endorsed by the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases, American College of Gastroenterology, and American Gastroenterological Association.

29. Nay MA, Fromont L, Eugene A, et al. High-flow nasal oxygenation or standard oxygenation for gastrointestinal endoscopy with sedation in patients at risk of hypoxaemia: A multicenter randomised controlled trial (ODEPH trial). Br J Anaesth. 2021;127:133-142.