

## **The Global Flow of Culture on Wikipedia: Quantitative Analysis of Cross-Cultural Interconnections**

**Dr. Yuki Nakamura**

**Department of Information and Communication Studies, Sophia University, Japan**

### **ABSTRACT**

**This study explores the role of Wikipedia as a tool for understanding global cultural networks through a quantitative analysis of its content. Wikipedia, as one of the largest user-generated knowledge platforms, holds significant potential for revealing patterns of cultural interaction, influence, and exchange. By examining the interlinking structure of Wikipedia articles, we aim to map the relationships between cultures as they are represented on the platform. Using network analysis techniques and a corpus of Wikipedia articles across multiple languages, this research uncovers how cultural references are interconnected, identifying cultural hubs and the flow of information across borders. The findings suggest that Wikipedia acts as a digital reflection of global cultural networks, with particular emphasis on the influence of major cultures, such as the U.S. and Western Europe, while highlighting the marginalization of smaller cultural representations. This study contributes to the growing body of research on digital cultural exchange and the role of online platforms in shaping global cultural dialogue.**

### **KEYWORDS**

**Wikipedia, cultural networks, network analysis, multilingual structure, global knowledge, digital culture, interlinking, cultural representation, cultural exchange, global discourse.**

### **INTRODUCTION**

Wikipedia, as the world's largest and most widely used reference website, offers a unique opportunity to study cultural representation and interconnection on a global scale. Given that its content is user-generated and accessible in multiple languages, Wikipedia can serve as a lens through which we can explore how cultures are represented, connected, and interact with one another in the digital age. The articles themselves—ranging from topics related to history, literature, and geography, to art, politics, and beyond—serve as repositories of cultural knowledge and influence.

In recent years, scholars have turned to network analysis to explore the structure and flow of information within digital platforms, and Wikipedia is no exception. The interlinking of articles, both within individual language editions and across different languages, presents a complex network of knowledge exchanges. Cultural networks in Wikipedia may be understood as clusters of related cultural references, often influenced by geography,

language, and historical context. These networks can reveal how cultural influence spreads across the globe and highlight the dominance or marginalization of certain cultural narratives.

Despite the growing interest in the digitalization of culture, there has been limited quantitative research into how cultural networks manifest on Wikipedia. This study seeks to fill that gap by using a network analysis approach to investigate the interconnections between cultural articles in Wikipedia. Specifically, we examine how cultural knowledge is interconnected across different language versions of Wikipedia, identifying key cultural hubs and patterns of cultural exchange.

The rise of digital platforms in the 21st century has profoundly altered how cultural knowledge is disseminated, consumed, and shared. Among the most prominent and influential of these platforms is Wikipedia, which, with over 6 billion page views per month and millions of articles available in hundreds of languages, has become a crucial part of global knowledge production. As a collaborative, user-generated repository of information, Wikipedia has the unique potential to serve as a lens through which we can examine cultural networks in the digital age. By "cultural networks," we refer to the web of interconnections formed between different cultural representations, practices, and knowledge systems across geographical and linguistic boundaries.

The concept of "culture" in this context refers to both explicit cultural practices (e.g., literature, music, and art) and implicit cultural ideologies (e.g., values, traditions, and beliefs). Wikipedia articles are not neutral but reflect the biases and perspectives of the contributors who create them. Therefore, the relationships between articles, particularly those that span across different language versions of the site, offer insights into how cultural knowledge flows, who controls the narrative, and which cultures are privileged or marginalized in global discourse.

One of the most interesting features of Wikipedia is its multilingual structure, which allows the same topic to be represented in multiple languages and from multiple cultural viewpoints. However, while the open-editing model offers an opportunity for more inclusive cultural representation, it also raises important questions about cultural dominance and the global hierarchy of knowledge. For example, how often do articles in smaller languages (such as African or Indigenous languages) interlink with articles in widely spoken languages (like English, Spanish, or French)? Do certain cultural representations dominate the space, and if so, how do they shape our understanding of global culture?

In particular, this study seeks to examine the interconnectedness of cultural topics across different Wikipedia language editions, and how these interconnections form cultural networks that reflect and perpetuate global patterns of influence, dominance, and marginalization. As Wikipedia has emerged as a dominant knowledge-sharing platform, it has also inadvertently become a space where the flows of cultural knowledge are not evenly distributed. The interlinks between articles, whether on the same topic or different but related cultural concepts, reveal the underlying global cultural networks that shape our digital knowledge landscape.

Despite its significance, there has been limited research on how cultural networks are represented on Wikipedia, particularly through a quantitative and network-based approach. While qualitative research has often highlighted how cultural narratives can be shaped through editing practices or how dominant cultures tend to exert influence over knowledge production, there is a gap in the quantitative exploration of how cultures are connected—or disconnected—on this platform.

This study aims to bridge that gap by utilizing network analysis techniques to quantitatively map the relationships between cultural articles in Wikipedia. By doing so, it hopes to identify key cultural hubs, understand the flow of cultural knowledge, and determine whether Wikipedia reflects existing patterns of cultural hegemony and knowledge asymmetry that can be seen in the physical world. More specifically, we will

focus on the following research questions:

1. How do different cultures interlink with each other across various Wikipedia language versions?
2. What are the central hubs of cultural knowledge in the Wikipedia network, and which cultural groups are more connected than others?
3. Are certain cultures marginalized within the Wikipedia network, with fewer interlinks to other cultural knowledge?
4. How do patterns of cultural influence manifest in Wikipedia's network structure, particularly between Western and non-Western cultures?

By answering these questions, this study will contribute to the growing body of research on digital culture, global networks, and cross-cultural knowledge exchange, offering new insights into the role of digital platforms like Wikipedia in shaping the global understanding of culture. Moreover, this research will also shed light on the broader implications of how digital tools mediate cultural representation, and whether these tools reinforce or challenge traditional power structures in the global flow of information.

#### Theoretical Background

The idea that knowledge and culture are interconnected through networks is not new. The field of cultural studies has long grappled with the relationship between knowledge, power, and culture, tracing the ways in which dominant cultural groups shape what is considered "legitimate" knowledge. Scholars such as Michel Foucault and Edward Said have critically examined how power relations affect the production and dissemination of knowledge, particularly through institutions like academia, media, and governments. Wikipedia, as a platform that democratizes the creation of knowledge, offers an opportunity to revisit these questions in the context of the digital age.

In the context of digital platforms, the concept of cultural networks has been explored through network analysis, which studies the relationships between nodes (in this case, cultural articles) and edges (the interlinks between them). Researchers have applied network analysis to a range of topics, from social networks to scientific collaborations. However, its application in studying the cultural networks within Wikipedia remains underexplored. This study uses the framework of cultural network analysis, which draws on both cultural studies and digital network theory, to explore how cultures are connected (or not) in the digital realm.

Wikipedia's open-editing model, while praised for its inclusivity and transparency, is not without its biases. Studies have shown that contributors to Wikipedia are predominantly from certain regions, such as North America and Western Europe, and this geographic imbalance is reflected in the content itself. For example, Western cultural narratives tend to dominate many aspects of the platform, often pushing non-Western perspectives to the margins. Wikipedia articles about Western figures, historical events, and ideas are more likely to be interlinked with articles in other languages than those related to non-Western topics. This raises important questions about the extent to which Wikipedia perpetuates existing cultural hierarchies in its content.

By analyzing the network of interlinks between cultural articles, we can map how different cultures relate to one another and identify which cultural knowledge is centralized and which is peripheral. This approach allows us to study how Wikipedia reflects global cultural power structures and explore the potential of digital platforms to either reinforce or challenge traditional cultural norms.

#### Significance of the Study

This study offers several significant contributions to the understanding of digital cultural exchange and the role

---

of Wikipedia in shaping cultural discourse:

- **Understanding Global Cultural Influence:** By analyzing Wikipedia as a cultural lens, we can gain insights into how different cultural traditions, ideologies, and knowledge systems are represented and exchanged digitally.
- **Exploring Digital Hegemony:** The study investigates how certain cultures, particularly Western ones, continue to dominate global knowledge networks, raising questions about digital imperialism and cultural globalization.
- **Contributing to Cultural Studies:** This research adds a digital dimension to cultural studies by providing a new way to study how culture circulates online, bridging the gap between traditional cultural analysis and digital research methods.

Ultimately, this study aims to demonstrate that Wikipedia is not just an online encyclopedia; it is a dynamic, evolving cultural network that mirrors and shapes global cultural exchange in the digital era.

## **METHODS**

This study utilizes a quantitative network analysis approach to explore the interconnections between cultural topics represented on Wikipedia. We focus on Wikipedia's multilingual structure and analyze the relationships between articles across different languages to understand how global cultural networks are represented and how cultural boundaries are formed and maintained in the digital space.

### **Data Collection**

We collected data from Wikipedia's content using the Wikimedia API, which provides access to article metadata, including interlinks between articles across different language versions. The data collection process was as follows:

1. **Article Selection:** We selected a representative sample of articles from the "Culture" category in multiple language versions of Wikipedia, including English, Spanish, French, German, Chinese, and Russian. The articles covered a wide range of cultural topics, such as literature, art, music, traditions, and historical figures.
2. **Interlink Data:** For each selected article, we extracted the interlinks to other language versions of the same article. These interlinks reflect the degree of cultural exchange and knowledge transfer between cultures.
3. **Network Construction:** Using the interlink data, we constructed a directed network of cultural articles, where nodes represent individual articles and edges represent the interlinks between them across languages. The network was weighted based on the number of interlinks between articles in different languages.

### **Network Analysis**

We employed several standard techniques for network analysis:

1. **Degree Centrality:** We calculated the degree centrality for each article, which measures the number of connections an article has to other articles. High centrality indicates articles that are frequently referenced across multiple languages and may represent cultural hubs.
2. **Clustering Coefficient:** This metric measures the degree to which nodes (articles) in the network tend to cluster together. A high clustering coefficient indicates that articles from a specific culture or language version tend to be more interconnected.
3. **Community Detection:** We used modularity optimization algorithms (such as the Louvain method) to

identify communities within the cultural network. These communities represent groups of articles that are densely connected within a particular language or cultural context.

4. **Betweenness Centrality:** This metric measures how often an article acts as a bridge between different cultural communities. High betweenness centrality indicates articles that play a critical role in linking different cultural networks.

#### Statistical Analysis

To examine cultural dominance and marginalization, we conducted statistical analyses of the degree centrality and betweenness centrality across language versions. We also examined the distribution of interlinks to identify which cultural hubs are most connected to other cultures and which cultures are less represented or isolated.

### RESULTS

The network analysis revealed several key findings regarding global cultural networks on Wikipedia:

1. **Cultural Hubs:** Articles related to Western cultures, particularly the United States and Western Europe, were found to be highly central in the network, with high degree and betweenness centrality scores. These cultures serve as major hubs of cultural knowledge and influence, with their articles frequently interlinked with those in other languages. For example, articles on "Shakespeare," "The Beatles," and "Hollywood" exhibited significant connectivity across various language versions.
2. **Marginalized Cultures:** Smaller or less-dominant cultures, such as those from smaller nations or non-Western regions, were found to have lower centrality scores. Articles related to Indigenous cultures or those from non-Western countries were often more isolated in the network, with fewer interlinks across different language versions. For example, articles on lesser-known African or Pacific Island cultures had limited connections to articles in major languages like English or French.
3. **Cross-Cultural Connections:** Despite the dominance of Western cultures, we observed that certain articles, such as those on global phenomena like "World War II" or "UNESCO World Heritage Sites," created cross-cultural bridges. These articles acted as important nodes connecting a variety of cultural communities, highlighting the shared history and knowledge that transcends geographic boundaries.
4. **Language-Based Clusters:** The community detection algorithm identified several clusters within the cultural network, with significant separation between language versions. For instance, while there was considerable overlap between English, French, and Spanish versions of cultural articles, there was less connectivity with articles in Russian or Chinese versions. This suggests that Wikipedia's multilingual nature contributes to the persistence of cultural boundaries, even within a global platform.

### DISCUSSION

The findings of this study suggest that Wikipedia serves as a digital reflection of global cultural networks, but these networks are not equally distributed. The dominance of Western cultural content on Wikipedia is evident, with certain cultural hubs—such as the United States and Western Europe—playing central roles in the flow of knowledge. This reflects broader patterns of cultural influence in the globalized world, where media, economics, and politics from the West continue to shape cultural narratives.

At the same time, our analysis underscores the persistence of cultural marginalization in the digital age. Non-Western and minority cultures are often relegated to peripheral positions in the Wikipedia network, with fewer interconnections to other cultures. This may reflect the unequal power dynamics present in global information exchange, where Western-centric knowledge often takes precedence.

However, the study also highlights the potential of Wikipedia as a platform for cross-cultural dialogue. Articles on universally relevant topics, such as historical events or globally recognized cultural achievements, serve as bridges between cultures, facilitating a more interconnected world. As a platform driven by user contributions, Wikipedia offers a dynamic space for cultural representation and exchange, but the nature of this exchange is influenced by the patterns of content creation and the historical dominance of certain cultures.

The results of this study provide valuable insights into the structure and dynamics of global cultural networks as represented on Wikipedia. Through the quantitative network analysis of interlinking cultural articles, we were able to map the relationships between different cultural narratives, understand patterns of cultural exchange, and highlight the dynamics of dominance and marginalization that exist in digital spaces. In this section, we delve deeper into these findings, exploring their implications and considering broader questions around the power dynamics of cultural knowledge on digital platforms.

#### Cultural Hubs and Dominance

One of the most striking findings in this study was the dominance of Western cultures—especially those of the United States and Western Europe—within the Wikipedia network. Articles related to cultural figures, movements, and phenomena from these regions were consistently found to have high degree centrality and betweenness centrality. For instance, topics like Shakespeare, the Beatles, and Hollywood demonstrated significant connectivity, not only within the English language version of Wikipedia but also across other language versions.

This dominance is indicative of the global cultural hegemony of Western nations, particularly in the realms of literature, art, entertainment, and historical narratives. Wikipedia, as a user-generated platform, is not immune to these power dynamics. Just as Western culture has historically had a significant influence on global media and academia, it also exerts a dominant presence in the flow of knowledge on Wikipedia. This can be understood in the broader context of globalization, where Western ideas and values often take precedence in shaping what is considered "universal" knowledge.

This finding also reflects what Edward Said referred to as the concept of "Orientalism"—the systematic privileging of Western knowledge while marginalizing non-Western perspectives. In the digital age, this is no less true. For example, articles related to Western history (e.g., World Wars, European art movements) were often more interconnected across languages, while articles about Indigenous cultures, African art, or pre-Columbian civilizations had fewer interlinks to other languages.

In a broader sense, the centrality of Western cultural knowledge on Wikipedia underscores the Eurocentrism inherent in much of the digital age's knowledge production. While Wikipedia is intended to be a neutral platform, the patterns of interlinking and cross-linguistic referencing reveal a persistent global structure of influence where Western culture continues to dominate.

#### Cultural Marginalization

In stark contrast to the prominence of Western cultural knowledge, our study found that smaller cultures or those from non-Western regions were often relegated to the periphery of the Wikipedia network. Many articles related to less widely spoken languages or Indigenous cultures were significantly less connected. For example, articles about African traditional music, Indigenous languages, or Latin American history had fewer interlinks to articles in other languages. These marginalized cultures often existed in isolated clusters, suggesting that their knowledge is not widely integrated into the global digital network of cultural references.

This marginalization is significant because it illustrates how certain forms of knowledge are underrepresented



in digital platforms. While the open-editing nature of Wikipedia theoretically enables the inclusion of a diverse range of cultural knowledge, the reality is that knowledge production on the platform is shaped by contributors' backgrounds, perspectives, and the linguistic and geographical boundaries that define the Wikipedia language editions. Language barriers play a major role in reinforcing the divide between global knowledge networks and local, regional knowledge systems. For example, content in low-resource languages may be sparse, and interlinking between articles in these languages and more widely spoken ones may be rare or non-existent.

Furthermore, this marginalization reflects the broader digital divide—a term that refers to the unequal access to and representation within digital platforms based on factors such as geography, socio-economic status, and education. As the Internet continues to serve as a critical platform for knowledge production and exchange, it is crucial to recognize that the lack of equal representation in global digital knowledge networks has significant implications for the preservation and dissemination of cultural diversity.

#### Intercultural Connections and Shared Global Knowledge

Despite the dominance of Western cultural narratives, the study also uncovered fascinating examples of cross-cultural connections within the Wikipedia network. Articles on topics like World War II, UNESCO World Heritage Sites, and global peace initiatives were found to act as bridges between different cultural communities. These articles, often representing global phenomena, provided a common ground for cultures to connect and share knowledge across borders.

For example, articles related to the UNESCO World Heritage Sites connected cultural knowledge from continents as diverse as Europe, Asia, Africa, and the Americas. Similarly, articles on UN Peacekeeping Operations or Global Human Rights Movements served as linking nodes between cultures that might otherwise be distant from one another in the digital space. These articles represented shared human experiences, highlighting how certain events, ideologies, or movements transcend individual cultures and foster intercultural dialogue.

The presence of these intercultural bridges is an important reminder that while Wikipedia's structure may reflect patterns of dominance and marginalization, it also offers a space for collective, globalized knowledge exchange. The growing number of contributors from different parts of the world—and the efforts by international organizations to ensure more inclusive content—point to the potential for Wikipedia to be a platform for cross-cultural understanding. These connections emphasize the importance of shared global knowledge, especially in an age where collaboration on global challenges (like climate change, pandemics, and human rights) requires more interconnected cultural perspectives.

#### Implications for Cultural Representation and Equity

The findings of this study have important implications for cultural representation and equity in knowledge creation. The Wikipedia model, while revolutionary in terms of its open-access nature, still carries within it the imbalances that exist in the broader media landscape. As users from dominant cultures continue to contribute disproportionately to content creation and editing, the knowledge represented on the platform risks being biased toward the perspectives of these groups.

For cultural representation to be more equitable, there must be a concerted effort to increase the participation of underrepresented groups, particularly in areas related to non-Western cultures, Indigenous knowledge, and marginalized linguistic communities. One potential avenue for achieving this is through initiatives aimed at expanding Wikipedia's language editions and improving the representation of local knowledge through community-based editing campaigns, such as those spearheaded by organizations like Wikimedia Foundation and GLAM (Galleries, Libraries, Archives, and Museums) projects.

Another potential solution is the development of algorithmic tools and policies that promote the discovery of and interlinking with underrepresented cultural content. For example, prioritizing articles that discuss underrepresented cultures or connecting them with major hubs in the Wikipedia network could help bring more balanced cultural representation to the platform. In addition, education campaigns could empower individuals from marginalized cultures to contribute to the platform, amplifying the voices and perspectives that are currently sidelined.

### Conclusion and Future Directions

The study of Wikipedia as a cultural network reveals a dynamic, multilayered view of global knowledge exchange in the digital age. While Western cultures maintain centrality within the platform, there are also opportunities for increased cross-cultural dialogue and the promotion of more inclusive cultural narratives. Wikipedia, as an open platform, holds the potential to challenge traditional knowledge hierarchies, but only if efforts are made to ensure equitable representation and access for all cultural groups.

Future research could build on this study by examining the temporal dynamics of cultural networks—how they evolve over time as more contributors participate and as global cultural influences shift. Additionally, the role of artificial intelligence and machine learning in content generation and interlinking on Wikipedia offers an exciting frontier for studying how digital tools might either reinforce or disrupt existing cultural biases. With further investigation, Wikipedia can be harnessed not only as a tool for cultural exchange but also as a space for promoting a more inclusive, equitable, and diverse global knowledge system.

This research also provides a stepping stone for understanding how digital platforms can become active agents of cultural diplomacy, shaping the future of global cultural dialogue in the interconnected world of the 21st century.

### CONCLUSION

This study demonstrates that Wikipedia can be a valuable tool for exploring cultural networks in the digital age. The quantitative network analysis approach reveals how cultures are interconnected on the platform, shedding light on the dominant and marginalized cultural groups within the Wikipedia ecosystem. While Western cultures continue to dominate, there is potential for greater cross-cultural connections, especially through shared global phenomena. Further research is needed to explore how these cultural networks evolve over time and how Wikipedia can be used to promote more equitable cultural representation.

### REFERENCES

1. Barabási, A. L., & Albert, R. (1999). Emergence of scaling in random networks. *Science*, 286(5439), 509-512. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.286.5439.509>
2. Choi, Y., & Kwon, W. (2018). Analyzing Wikipedia's cultural diversity through network analysis. *Journal of Global Information Technology Management*, 21(1), 22-45. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1097198X.2018.1436017>
3. Foucault, M. (1972). *The Archaeology of Knowledge*. Pantheon Books.
4. Girvan, M., & Newman, M. E. J. (2002). Community structure in social and biological networks. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 99(12), 7821-7826. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.122653799>
5. Hecht, B., & Gergle, D. (2010). Measuring self-focus bias in community-contributed content. *Proceedings of the ACM 2010 Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work*, 311-320. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1718918.1718963>



6. Hochschild, A. R., & Machung, A. (2012). *The Second Shift: Working Families and the Revolution at Home*. Penguin Books.
7. Sumi, R., & Kawazoe, M. (2020). A cultural network analysis of Wikipedia: Revealing the diversity of knowledge from a global perspective. *Social Network Analysis and Mining*, 10(1), 34-50. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13278-020-00651-w>
8. Van der Weerd, M., & Spruit, M. (2019). The power of Wikipedia: How cultural articles shape global knowledge. *Journal of Information Science*, 45(2), 186-201. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0165551519829005>
9. Williams, R., & May, T. (2008). *Introduction to the Theory of Knowledge*. Polity Press.
10. Zhao, D., & Wang, H. (2020). Bridging cultures in digital platforms: The case of Wikipedia. *Journal of Cultural Heritage Management and Sustainable Development*, 10(3), 215-230. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JCHMSD-03-2019-0042>
11. Zhao, Y., & Smith, C. (2021). Exploring cultural hegemony in Wikipedia: A network approach to global knowledge dissemination. *International Journal of Communication*, 15, 5400-5423.
12. Lazer, D. M. J., et al. (2009). Computational social science. *Science*, 323(5915), 721-723. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1167742>
13. Carr, D. (2015). *Cultural Studies: Theory and Practice*. Sage Publications.
14. Shulman, M. (2012). *Cultural Networks and Social Influence*. Routledge.